



FÉDÉRATION INTERNATIONALE
DE MOTOCYCLISME

FIM ANTI-DOPING CODE

2019

CODE ANTIDOPAGE FIM



**FIM RIDE
CLEAN**

Anti-Doping Code



FÉDÉRATION INTERNATIONALE DE MOTOCYCLISME

FIM Anti-Doping Rules are based on Wada's Models of Best Practice for International Federations and the World Anti-Doping Code.

Date of enforcement: 01 January 2019

EDITION 2019

update 19 January 2019

CONTENTS

Introduction	3-5
Articles	6-96
Appendix	97-134

Articles amended as from 1.1.2019 are in bold type

Anti-Doping Code

FIM ANTI-DOPING RULES		3
INTRODUCTION		3
PREFACE		3
FUNDAMENTAL RATIONALE FOR THE CODE AND FIM'S ANTI-DOPING RULES		3
HISTORICAL COMMITMENT TO ANTI-DOPING		4
SCOPE OF THESE ANTI-DOPING RULES		4
ARTICLE 1	DEFINITION OF DOPING	6
ARTICLE 2	ANTI-DOPING RULE VIOLATIONS	6
ARTICLE 3	PROOF OF DOPING	13
ARTICLE 4	THE PROHIBITED LIST	15
ARTICLE 5	TESTING AND INVESTIGATIONS	22
ARTICLE 6	ANALYSIS OF SAMPLES	40
ARTICLE 7	RESULTS MANAGEMENT	42
ARTICLE 8	RIGHT TO A FAIR HEARING	53
ARTICLE 9	AUTOMATIC DISQUALIFICATION OF INDIVIDUAL RESULTS ..	55
ARTICLE 10	SANCTIONS ON INDIVIDUALS	56
ARTICLE 11	CONSEQUENCES TO TEAMS	73
ARTICLE 12	SANCTIONS AND COSTS ASSESSED AGAINST SPORTING BODIES	74
ARTICLE 13	APPEALS	76
ARTICLE 14	CONFIDENTIALITY AND REPORTING	82

ARTICLE 15	APPLICATION AND RECOGNITION OF DECISIONS	87
ARTICLE 16	INCORPORATION OF FIM ANTI-DOPING RULES AND OBLIGATIONS OF CONUs & FMNs	88
ARTICLE 17	STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS	90
ARTICLE 18	FIM COMPLIANCE REPORTS TO WADA.....	90
ARTICLE 19	EDUCATION	90
ARTICLE 20	AMENDMENT AND INTERPRETATION OF ANTI-DOPING RULES	90
ARTICLE 21	INTERPRETATION OF THE CODE	93
ARTICLE 22	ADDITIONAL ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES OF RIDERS AND OTHER PERSONS.....	94
APPENDIX 1	DEFINITIONS.....	97
APPENDIX 2	EXAMPLES OF THE APPLICATION OF ARTICLE 10.....	109
APPENDIX 3	RIDER CONSENT FORM.....	118
	THE 2019 PROHIBITED LIST	119
	PROHIBITED METHODS.....	129
	SUBSTANCES AND METHODS PROHIBITED IN-COMPETITION.....	131
	SUBSTANCES PROHIBITED IN PARTICULAR SPORTS	134

FIM ANTI-DOPING RULES

INTRODUCTION

Preface

FIM accepted the revised FIM Anti-Doping Rules based on the 2015 World Anti-Doping Code. These Anti-Doping Rules are adopted and implemented in conformance with the FIM's responsibilities under the Code, and are in furtherance of the FIM's continuing efforts to eradicate doping in the sport of Motorcycling.

Anti-Doping Rules, like Competition rules, are sport rules governing the conditions under which sport is played. Riders and other Persons accept these rules as a condition of participation and shall be bound by them. These sport-specific rules and procedures, aimed at enforcing anti-doping principles in a global and harmonized manner, are distinct in nature and, therefore, not intended to be subject to or limited by any national requirements and legal standards applicable to criminal proceedings or employment matters. When reviewing the facts and the law of a given case, all courts, arbitral tribunals and other adjudicating bodies should be aware of and respect the distinct nature of the anti-doping rules in the Code and the fact that these rules represent the consensus of a broad spectrum of stakeholders around the world with an interest in fair sport.

Fundamental Rationale for the Code and FIM's Anti-Doping Rules

Anti-doping programs seek to preserve what is intrinsically valuable about sport. This intrinsic value is often referred to as “the spirit of sport”. It is the essence of Olympism, the pursuit of human excellence through the dedicated perfection of each person's natural talents. It is how we play true. The spirit of sport is the celebration of the human spirit, body and mind, and is reflected in values we find in and through sport, including:

- Ethics, fair play and honesty
- Health
- Excellence in performance
- Character and education
- Fun and joy
- Teamwork

- Dedication and commitment
- Respect for rules and laws
- Respect for self and other Participants
- Courage
- Community and solidarity

Doping is fundamentally contrary to the spirit of sport.

Historical Commitment to Anti-Doping

At the FIM Congress held on 25/10/08 in Durban, South Africa (RSA), the FIM accepted the revised (2009) World Anti-Doping Code (the “Code”).

Scope of these Anti-Doping Rules

These Anti-Doping Rules shall apply to FIM, each Continental Union (CONU) and to each of its FMNs (FMN). They also apply to the following Riders, Rider Support Personnel and other Persons, each of whom is deemed, as a condition of his/her membership, accreditation and/or participation in the sport, to have agreed to be bound by these Anti-Doping Rules, and to have submitted to the authority of FIM to enforce these Anti-Doping Rules and to the jurisdiction of the hearing panels specified in Article 8 and Article 13 to hear and determine cases and appeals brought under these Anti-Doping Rules:

- a. all Riders and Rider Support Personnel who are members of FIM, or of any FMN, or of any member or affiliate organization of any FMN (including any clubs, teams, associations or leagues);
- b. all Riders and Rider Support Personnel participating in such capacity in Events, Competitions and other activities organized, convened, authorized or recognized by FIM, or any FMN, or any member or affiliate organization of any FMN (including any clubs, teams, associations or leagues), wherever held;

- c. any other Rider or Rider Support Personnel or other Person who, by virtue of an accreditation, a licence or other contractual arrangement, or otherwise, is subject to the jurisdiction of FIM, or of any FMN, or of any member or affiliate organization of any FMN (including any clubs, teams, associations or leagues), for purposes of anti-doping; To be eligible for participation in International Events (World Championship or Cup/Prize Event), a competitor must have an FIM licence issued by his or her FMN. The FIM licence will only be issued to competitors who have personally signed the Appendix 3 consent form, in the actual form approved by the FIM Board of Directors. All forms from Minors must be counter-signed by their legal guardians.
- d. Riders who are not regular members of FIM or of one of its FMNs but who want to be eligible to compete in a particular International Event. FIM may include such Riders in its Registered Testing Pool so that they are required to provide information about their whereabouts for purposes of Testing under these Anti-Doping Rules for at least one month prior to the International Event in question.

Within the overall pool of Riders set out above who are bound by and required to comply with these Anti-Doping Rules, the following Riders shall be considered to be International-Level Riders for purposes of these Anti-Doping Rules, and therefore the specific provisions in these Anti-Doping Rules applicable to International-Level Riders (as regards Testing but also as regards TUEs, whereabouts information, results management, and appeals) shall apply to such Riders:

- a. Riders who participate in selected FIM International Events (FIM World Championship or FIM Cup/Prize Event) published by FIM in its website at the following link at the following link: [<http://www.fim-live.com/>];
- b. Riders who are part of the FIM Registered Testing Pool and Testing Pool.

ARTICLE 1 DEFINITION OF DOPING

Doping is defined as the occurrence of one or more of the anti-doping rule violations set forth in Article 2.1 through Article 2.10 of these Anti-Doping Rules.

ARTICLE 2 ANTI-DOPING RULE VIOLATIONS

The purpose of Article 2 is to specify the circumstances and conduct which constitute anti-doping rule violations. Hearings in doping cases will proceed based on the assertion that one or more of these specific rules have been violated.

Riders or other Persons shall be responsible for knowing what constitutes an anti-doping rule violation and the substances and methods which have been included on the Prohibited List.

The following constitute anti-doping rule violations:

2.1 Presence of a Prohibited Substance or its Metabolites or Markers in an Rider's Sample

2.1.1

It is each Rider's personal duty to ensure that no Prohibited Substance enters his or her body. Riders are responsible for any Prohibited Substance or its Metabolites or Markers found to be present in their Samples. Accordingly, it is not necessary that intent, Fault, negligence or knowing Use on the Rider's part be demonstrated in order to establish an anti-doping rule violation under Article 2.1.

[Comment to Article 2.1.1: An anti-doping rule violation is committed under this Article without regard to a Rider's Fault. This rule has been referred to in various CAS decisions as "Strict Liability". A Rider's Fault is taken into consideration in determining the Consequences of this anti-doping rule violation under Article 10. This principle has consistently been upheld by CAS.]

2.1.2

Sufficient proof of an anti-doping rule violation under Article 2.1 is established by any of the following: presence of a Prohibited Substance or its Metabolites or Markers in the Rider's A Sample where the Rider waives analysis of the B Sample and the B Sample is not analyzed; or, where the Rider's B Sample is analyzed and the analysis of the Rider's B Sample confirms the presence of the Prohibited Substance or its Metabolites or Markers found in the Rider's A Sample; or, where the Rider's B Sample is split into two bottles and the analysis of the second bottle confirms the presence of the Prohibited Substance or its Metabolites or Markers found in the first bottle.

[Comment to Article 2.1.2: The Anti-Doping Organization with results management responsibility may, at its discretion, choose to have the B Sample analyzed even if the Rider does not request the analysis of the B Sample.]

2.1.3

Excepting those substances for which a quantitative threshold is specifically identified in the Prohibited List, the presence of any quantity of a Prohibited Substance or its Metabolites or Markers in a Rider's Sample shall constitute an anti-doping rule violation.

2.1.4

As an exception to the general rule of Article 2.1, the Prohibited List or International Standards may establish special criteria for the evaluation of Prohibited Substances that can also be produced endogenously.

2.2 Use or Attempted Use by a Rider of a Prohibited Substance or a Prohibited Method

[Comment to Article 2.2: It has always been the case that Use or Attempted Use of a Prohibited Substance or Prohibited Method may be established by any reliable means. As noted in the Comment to Article 3.2, unlike the proof required to establish an anti-doping rule violation under Article 2.1, Use or Attempted Use may also be established by other reliable means such as admissions by the Rider, witness statements, documentary evidence, conclusions drawn from longitudinal profiling, including data collected as part of the Rider Biological Passport, or other analytical information which does not otherwise satisfy all the requirements to establish “Presence” of a Prohibited Substance under Article 2.1. For example, Use may be established based upon reliable analytical data from the analysis of an A Sample (without confirmation from an analysis of a B Sample) or from the analysis of a B Sample alone where the Anti-Doping Organization provides a satisfactory explanation for the lack of confirmation in the other Sample.]

2.2.1

It is each Rider’s personal duty to ensure that no Prohibited Substance enters his or her body and that no Prohibited Method is Used. Accordingly, it is not necessary that intent, Fault, negligence or knowing Use on the Rider’s part be demonstrated in order to establish an anti-doping rule violation for Use of a Prohibited Substance or a Prohibited Method.

2.2.2

The success or failure of the Use or Attempted Use of a Prohibited Substance or Prohibited Method is not material. It is sufficient that the Prohibited Substance or Prohibited Method was Used or Attempted to be Used for an anti-doping rule violation to be committed.

[Comment to Article 2.2.2: Demonstrating the “Attempted Use” of a Prohibited Substance or a Prohibited Method requires proof of intent on the Rider’s part. The fact that intent may be required to prove this particular anti-doping rule violation does not undermine the Strict Liability principle established for violations of Article 2.1 and violations of Article 2.2 in respect of Use of a Prohibited Substance or Prohibited Method.

A Rider's "Use" of a Prohibited Substance constitutes an anti-doping rule violation unless such substance is not prohibited Out-of-Competition and the Rider's Use takes place Out-of-Competition. (However, the presence of a Prohibited Substance or its Metabolites or Markers in a Sample collected In-Competition is a violation of Article 2.1 regardless of when that substance might have been administered).]

2.3 Evading, Refusing or Failing to Submit to Sample Collection

Evading Sample collection, or without compelling justification refusing or failing to submit to Sample collection after notification as authorized in these Anti-Doping Rules or other applicable anti-doping rules.

[Comment to Article 2.3: For example, it would be an anti-doping rule violation of "evading Sample collection" if it were established that a Rider was deliberately avoiding a Doping Control official to evade notification or Testing. A violation of "failing to submit to Sample collection" may be based on either intentional or negligent conduct of the Rider, while "evading" or "refusing" Sample collection contemplates intentional conduct by the Rider.]

2.4 Whereabouts Failures

Any combination of three missed tests and/or filing failures, as defined in the International Standard for Testing and Investigations, within a twelve-month period by a Rider in a Registered Testing Pool.

2.5 Tampering or Attempted Tampering with any part of Doping Control

Conduct which subverts the Doping Control process but which would not otherwise be included in the definition of Prohibited Methods. Tampering shall include, without limitation, intentionally interfering or attempting to interfere with a Doping Control official, providing fraudulent information to an Anti-Doping Organization, or intimidating or attempting to intimidate a potential witness.

[Comment to Article 2.5: For example, this Article would prohibit altering identification numbers on a Doping Control form during Testing, breaking the B bottle at the time of B Sample analysis, or altering a Sample by the addition of a foreign substance. Offensive conduct towards a Doping Control official or other Person involved in Doping Control which does not otherwise constitute Tampering shall be addressed in the disciplinary rules of sport organizations.]

2.6 Possession of a Prohibited Substance or a Prohibited Method

2.6.1

Possession by a Rider In-Competition of any Prohibited Substance or any Prohibited Method, or Possession by a Rider Out-of-Competition of any Prohibited Substance or any Prohibited Method which is prohibited Out-of-Competition unless the Rider establishes that the Possession is consistent with a Therapeutic Use Exemption (“TUE”) granted in accordance with Article 4.4 or other acceptable justification.

2.6.2

Possession by an Rider Support Person In-Competition of any Prohibited Substance or any Prohibited Method, or Possession by a Rider Support Person Out-of-Competition of any Prohibited Substance or any Prohibited Method which is prohibited Out-of-Competition in connection with a Rider, Competition or training, unless the Rider Support Person establishes that the Possession is consistent with a TUE granted to an Rider in accordance with Article 4.4 or other acceptable justification.

[Comment to Articles 2.6.1 and 2.6.2: Acceptable justification would not include, for example, buying or Possessing a Prohibited Substance for purposes of giving it to a friend or relative, except under justifiable medical circumstances where that Person had a physician’s prescription, e.g., buying Insulin for a diabetic child.]

[Comment to Article 2.6.2: Acceptable justification would include, for example, a team doctor carrying Prohibited Substances for dealing with acute and emergency situations.]

2.7 Trafficking or Attempted Trafficking in any Prohibited Substance or Prohibited Method

2.8

Administration or Attempted Administration to any Rider In-Competition of any Prohibited Substance or Prohibited Method, or Administration or Attempted Administration to any Rider Out-of-Competition of any Prohibited Substance or any Prohibited Method that is prohibited Out-of-Competition.

2.9 Complicity

Assisting, encouraging, aiding, abetting, conspiring, covering up or any other type of intentional complicity involving an anti-doping rule violation, Attempted anti-doping rule violation or violation of Article 10.12.1 by another Person.

2.10 Prohibited Association

Association by a Rider or other Person subject to the authority of an Anti-Doping Organization in a professional or sport-related capacity with any Rider Support Person who:

2.10.1

If subject to the authority of an Anti-Doping Organization, is serving a period of Ineligibility; or

2.10.2

If not subject to the authority of an Anti-Doping Organization and where Ineligibility has not been addressed in a results management process pursuant to the Code, has been convicted or found in a criminal, disciplinary or professional proceeding to have engaged in conduct which would have constituted a violation of anti-doping rules if Code-compliant rules had been applicable to such Person. The disqualifying status of such Person shall be in force for the longer of six years from the criminal, professional or disciplinary decision or the duration of the criminal, disciplinary or professional sanction imposed; or

2.10.3

Is serving as a front or intermediary for an individual described in Article 2.10.1 or 2.10.2.

In order for this provision to apply, it is necessary that the Rider or other Person has previously been advised in writing by an Anti-Doping Organization with jurisdiction over the Rider or other Person, or by WADA, of the Rider Support Person's disqualifying status and the potential Consequence of prohibited association and that the Rider or other Person can reasonably avoid the association.

The Anti-Doping Organization shall also use reasonable efforts to advise the Rider Support Person who is the subject of the notice to the Rider or other Person that the Rider Support Person may, within 15 days, come forward to the Anti-Doping Organization to explain that the criteria described in Articles 2.10.1 and 2.10.2 do not apply to him or her. (Notwithstanding Article 17, this Article applies even when the Rider Support Person's disqualifying conduct occurred prior to the effective date provided in Article 20.7.)

The burden shall be on the Rider or other Person to establish that any association with Rider Support Personnel described in Article 2.10.1 or 2.10.2 is not in a professional or sport-related capacity.

Anti-Doping Organizations that are aware of Rider Support Personnel who meet the criteria described in Article 2.10.1, 2.10.2, or 2.10.3 shall submit that information to WADA.

[Comment to Article 2.10: Riders and other Persons must not work with coaches, trainers, physicians or other Rider Support Personnel who are Ineligible on account of an anti-doping rule violation or who have been criminally convicted or professionally disciplined in relation to doping. Some examples of the types of association which are prohibited include: obtaining training, strategy, technique, nutrition or medical advice; obtaining therapy, treatment or prescriptions; providing any bodily products for analysis; or allowing the Rider Support Person to serve as an agent or representative. Prohibited association need not involve any form of compensation.]

ARTICLE 3 PROOF OF DOPING

3.1 Burdens and Standards of Proof

FIM shall have the burden of establishing that an anti-doping rule violation has occurred. The standard of proof shall be whether FIM has established an anti-doping rule violation to the comfortable satisfaction of the hearing panel bearing in mind the seriousness of the allegation which is made. This standard of proof in all cases is greater than a mere balance of probability but less than proof beyond a reasonable doubt. Where these Anti-Doping Rules place the burden of proof upon the Rider or other Person alleged to have committed an anti-doping rule violation to rebut a presumption or establish specified facts or circumstances, the standard of proof shall be by a balance of probability.

[Comment to Article 3.1: This standard of proof required to be met by FIM is comparable to the standard which is applied in most countries to cases involving professional misconduct.]

3.2 Methods of Establishing Facts and Presumptions

Facts related to anti-doping rule violations may be established by any reliable means, including admissions. The following rules of proof shall be applicable in doping cases:

[Comment to Article 3.2: For example, FIM may establish an anti-doping rule violation under Article 2.2 based on the Rider's admissions, the credible testimony of third Persons, reliable documentary evidence, reliable analytical data from either an A or B Sample as provided in the Comments to Article 2.2, or conclusions drawn from the profile of a series of the Rider's blood or urine Samples, such as data from the Rider Biological Passport.]

3.2.1

Analytical methods or decision limits approved by WADA after consultation within the relevant scientific community and which have been the subject of peer review are presumed to be scientifically valid. Any Rider or other Person seeking to rebut this presumption of scientific validity shall, as a condition precedent to any such challenge, first notify WADA of the challenge and the basis of the challenge. CAS on its own initiative may also inform WADA of any such challenge. At WADA's request, the CAS panel shall appoint an appropriate scientific expert to assist the panel in its evaluation of the challenge. Within 10 days of WADA's receipt of such notice, and WADA's receipt of the CAS file, WADA shall also have the right to intervene as a party, appear amicus curiae, or otherwise provide evidence in such proceeding.

3.2.2

WADA-accredited laboratories, and other laboratories approved by WADA, are presumed to have conducted Sample analysis and custodial procedures in accordance with the International Standard for Laboratories. The Rider or other Person may rebut this presumption by establishing that a departure from the International Standard for Laboratories occurred which could reasonably have caused the Adverse Analytical Finding. If the Rider or other Person rebuts the preceding presumption by showing that a departure from the International Standard for Laboratories occurred which could reasonably have caused the Adverse Analytical Finding, then FIM shall have the burden to establish that such departure did not cause the Adverse Analytical Finding.

[Comment to Article 3.2.2: The burden is on the Rider or other Person to establish, by a balance of probability, a departure from the International Standard for Laboratories that could reasonably have caused the Adverse Analytical Finding. If the Rider or other Person does so, the burden shifts to FIM to prove to the comfortable satisfaction of the hearing panel that the departure did not cause the Adverse Analytical Finding.]

3.2.3

Departures from any other International Standard or other anti-doping rule or policy set forth in the Code or these Anti-Doping Rules which did not cause an Adverse Analytical Finding or other anti-doping rule violation shall not invalidate such evidence or results. If the Rider or other Person establishes a departure from another International Standard or other anti-doping rule or policy which could reasonably have caused an anti-doping rule violation based on an Adverse Analytical Finding or other anti-doping rule violation, then FIM shall have the burden to establish that such departure did not cause the Adverse Analytical Finding or the factual basis for the anti-doping rule violation.

3.2.4

The facts established by a decision of a court or professional disciplinary tribunal of competent jurisdiction which is not the subject of a pending appeal shall be irrefutable evidence against the Rider or other Person to whom the decision pertained of those facts unless the Rider or other Person establishes that the decision violated principles of natural justice.

3.2.5

The hearing panel in a hearing on a anti-doping rule violation may draw an inference adverse to the Rider or other Person who is asserted to have committed an anti-doping rule violation based on the Rider's or other Person's refusal, after a request made in a reasonable time in advance of the hearing, to appear at the hearing (either in person or telephonically as directed by the hearing panel) and to answer questions from the hearing panel or FIM.

ARTICLE 4 THE PROHIBITED LIST

4.1 Incorporation of the Prohibited List

These Anti-Doping Rules incorporate the Prohibited List, which is published and revised by WADA as described in Article 4.1 of the Code.

[Comment to Article 4.1: The current Prohibited List is available on WADA's website at www.wada-ama.org.]

4.2 Prohibited Substances and Prohibited Methods Identified on the Prohibited List

4.2.1 Prohibited Substances and Prohibited Methods

Unless provided otherwise in the Prohibited List and/or a revision, the Prohibited List and revisions shall go into effect under these Anti-Doping Rules three months after publication by WADA, without requiring any further action by FIM or its FMNs. All Riders and other Persons shall be bound by the Prohibited List, and any revisions thereto, from the date they go into effect, without further formality.

It is the responsibility of all Riders and other Persons to familiarize themselves with the most up-to-date version of the Prohibited List and all revisions thereto.

4.2.2 Specified Substances

For purposes of the application of Article 10, all Prohibited Substances shall be Specified Substances except substances in the classes of anabolic agents and hormones and those stimulants and hormone antagonists and modulators so identified on the Prohibited List. The category of Specified Substances shall not include Prohibited Methods.

[Comment to Article 4.2.2: The Specified Substances identified in Article 4.2.2 should not in any way be considered less important or less dangerous than other doping substances. Rather, they are simply substances which are more likely to have been consumed by a Rider for a purpose other than the enhancement of sport performance.]

4.3 WADA's Determination of the Prohibited List

WADA's determination of the Prohibited Substances and Prohibited Methods that will be included on the Prohibited List, the classification of substances into categories on the Prohibited List, and the classification of a substance as prohibited at all times or In-Competition only, is final and shall not be subject to challenge by a Rider or other Person based on an argument that the substance or method was not a masking agent or did not have the potential to enhance performance, represent a health risk or violate the spirit of sport.

4.4 Therapeutic Use Exemptions (“TUEs”)

4.4.1

The presence of a Prohibited Substance or its Metabolites or Markers, and/or the Use or Attempted Use, Possession or Administration or Attempted Administration of a Prohibited Substance or Prohibited Method, shall not be considered an anti-doping rule violation if it is consistent with the provisions of a TUE granted in accordance with the International Standard for Therapeutic Use Exemptions.

4.4.2

If an International-Level Rider is using a Prohibited Substance or a Prohibited Method for therapeutic reasons:

4.4.2.1

Where the Rider already has a TUE granted by his or her National Anti-Doping Organization for the substance or method in question, that TUE is not automatically valid for international-level Competition.

However, the Rider may apply to FIM to recognize that TUE, in accordance with Article 7 of the International Standard for Therapeutic Use Exemptions. If that TUE meets the criteria set out in the International Standard for Therapeutic Use Exemptions, then FIM shall recognize it for purposes of international-level competition as well. If FIM considers that the TUE does not meet those criteria and so refuses to recognize it, FIM shall notify the rider and his or her national anti-doping organization promptly, with reasons. The rider and the national anti-doping organization shall have 21 days from such notification to refer the matter to WADA for review in accordance with Article 4.4.6. If the matter is referred to WADA for review, the TUE granted by the National Anti-Doping Organisation remains valid for national-level competition and Out-of-Competition Testing (but is not valid for international-level Competition) pending WADA’s decision. If the matter is not referred to WADA for review, the TUE becomes invalid for any purpose when the 21-day review deadline expires.

[Comment to Article 4.4.2.1: Further to Articles 5.6 and 7.1(a) of the International Standard for Therapeutic Use Exemptions, FIM may publish notice on its website [<http://www.fim-live.com/>] that it will automatically recognize TUE decisions (or categories of such decisions, e.g., as to particular substances or methods) made by National Anti-Doping Organizations. If a Rider's TUE falls into a category of automatically recognized TUEs, then he/she does not need to apply to FIM for recognition of that TUE.

If FIM refuses to recognize a TUE granted by a National Anti-Doping Organization only because medical records or other information are missing that are needed to demonstrate satisfaction of the criteria in the International Standard for Therapeutic Use Exemptions, the matter should not be referred to WADA. Instead, the file should be completed and re-submitted to FIM.]

4.4.2.2

If the Rider does not already have a TUE granted by his/her National Anti-Doping Organization for the substance or method in question, the Rider must apply directly to FIM for a TUE in accordance with the process set out in the International Standard for Therapeutic Use Exemptions, using the form posted on FIM website at <http://www.fim-live.com/>. If FIM denies the Rider's application, it must notify the Rider promptly, with reasons. If FIM grants the Rider's application, it shall notify not only the Rider but also his/her National Anti-Doping Organization. If the National Anti-Doping Organization considers that the TUE granted by FIM does not meet the criteria set out in the International Standard for Therapeutic Use Exemptions, it has 21 days from such notification to refer the matter to WADA for review in accordance with Article 4.4.6.

If the National Anti-Doping Organization refers the matter to WADA for review, the TUE granted by FIM remains valid for international-level Competition and Out-of-Competition Testing (but is not valid for national-level Competition) pending WADA's decision. If the National Anti-Doping Organization does not refer the matter to WADA for review, the TUE granted by FIM becomes valid for national-level Competition as well when the 21-day review deadline expires.

[Comment to Article 4.4.2: FIM may agree with a National Anti-Doping Organization that the National Anti-Doping Organization will consider TUE applications on behalf of FIM.]

4.4.3

If FIM chooses to test a Rider who is not an International-Level Rider, FIM shall recognize a TUE granted to that Rider by his or her National Anti-Doping Organization. If FIM chooses to test a Rider who is not an International-Level or a National-Level Rider, FIM shall permit that Rider to apply for a retroactive TUE for any Prohibited Substance or Prohibited Method that he/she is using for therapeutic reasons.

4.4.4

An application to FIM for grant or recognition of a TUE should be made as soon as the need arises. For substances prohibited In-Competition only, the Rider should apply for a TUE at least 30 days before the Rider's next Competition unless it is an emergency or exceptional situation.

A Rider may only be granted retroactive approval for his/her Therapeutic Use of a Prohibited Substance or Prohibited Method (i.e., a retroactive TUE) if:

- a. Emergency treatment or treatment of an acute medical condition was necessary; or
- b. Due to other exceptional circumstances, there was insufficient time or opportunity for the Rider to submit, or for the TUEC to consider, an application for the TUE prior to Sample collection; or
- c. The applicable rules required the Rider or permitted the Rider (see Code Article 4.4.5) to apply for a retroactive TUE; or
- d. It is agreed, by WADA and by the Anti-Doping Organization to whom the application for a retroactive TUE is or would be made, that fairness requires the grant of a retroactive TUE. FIM International Medical Commission (CMI) shall appoint a board of doctors to consider applications for the grant or recognition of TUEs (the "TUE Board").

Upon FIM's receipt of a TUE request, the Chair of the TUE Board shall appoint one or more members of the TUE Board which will consider such request. The TUE Board member(s) so designated shall promptly evaluate and decide upon the application in accordance with the relevant provisions of the International Standard for Therapeutic Use Exemptions and the eventual specific FIM's protocols posted on its website. Subject to Article 4.4.6 of these Rules, its decision shall be the final decision of FIM, and shall be reported to WADA and other relevant Anti-Doping Organizations, including the Rider's National Anti-Doping Organization, through ADAMS, in accordance with the International Standard for Therapeutic Use Exemptions.

[Comment to Article 4.4.4: The submission of false or misleadingly incomplete information in support of a TUE application (including but not limited to the failure to advise of the unsuccessful outcome of a prior application to another Anti-Doping Organization for such a TUE) may result in a charge of Tampering or Attempted Tampering under Article 2.5.

A Rider should not assume that his/her application for grant or recognition of a TUE (or for renewal of a TUE) will be granted. Any Use or Possession or Administration of a Prohibited Substance or Prohibited Method before an application has been granted is entirely at the Rider's own risk.]

4.4.5 Expiration, Cancellation, Withdrawal or Reversal of a TUE

4.4.5.1

A TUE granted pursuant to these Anti-Doping Rules: (a) shall expire automatically at the end of any term for which it was granted, without the need for any further notice or other formality; (b) may be cancelled if the Rider does not promptly comply with any requirements or conditions imposed by the TUE Board upon grant of the TUE; (c) may be withdrawn by the TUE Board if it is subsequently determined that the criteria for grant of a TUE are not in fact met; or (d) may be reversed on review by WADA or on appeal.

4.4.5.2

In such event, the Rider shall not be subject to any Consequences based on his/her Use or Possession or Administration of the Prohibited Substance or Prohibited Method in question in accordance with the TUE prior to the effective date of expiry, cancellation, withdrawal or reversal of the TUE. The review pursuant to Article 7.2 of any subsequent Adverse Analytical Finding shall include consideration of whether such finding is consistent with Use of the Prohibited Substance or Prohibited Method prior to that date, in which event no anti-doping rule violation shall be asserted.

4.4.6 **Reviews and Appeals of TUE Decisions**

4.4.6.1

WADA shall review any decision by FIM not to recognize a TUE granted by the National Anti-Doping Organisation that is referred to WADA by the rider or the rider's National Anti-Doping Organisation. In addition, WADA shall review any decision by FIM to grant a TUE that is referred to WADA by the Rider's National Anti-Doping Organization. WADA may review any other TUE decisions at any time, whether upon request by those affected or on its own initiative. If the TUE decision being reviewed meets the criteria set out in the International Standard for Therapeutic Use Exemptions, WADA will not interfere with it. If the TUE decision does not meet those criteria, WADA will reverse it.

4.4.6.2

Any TUE decision by FIM (or by a National Anti-Doping Organization where it has agreed to consider the application on behalf of FIM) that is not reviewed by WADA, or that is reviewed by WADA but is not reversed upon review, may be appealed by the Rider and/or the Rider's National Anti-Doping Organization exclusively to CAS, in accordance with Article 13.

[Comment to Article 4.4.6.2: In such cases, the decision being appealed is the FIM's TUE decision, not WADA's decision not to review the TUE decision or (having reviewed it) not to reverse the TUE decision. However, the deadline to appeal the TUE decision does not begin to run until the date that WADA communicates its decision. In any event, whether the decision has been reviewed by WADA or not, WADA shall be given notice of the appeal so that it may participate if it sees fit.]

4.4.6.3

A decision by WADA to reverse a TUE decision may be appealed by the Rider, the National Anti-Doping Organization and/or FIM exclusively to CAS, in accordance with Article 13.

4.4.6.4

A failure to take action within a reasonable time on a properly submitted application for grant or recognition of a TUE or for review of a TUE decision shall be considered a denial of the application.

ARTICLE 5 TESTING AND INVESTIGATIONS

5.1 Purpose of Testing and Investigations

Testing and investigations shall only be undertaken for anti-doping purposes. They shall be conducted in conformity with the provisions of the International Standard for Testing and Investigations and the eventual specific protocols of FIM supplementing that International Standard.

5.1.1

Testing shall be undertaken to obtain analytical evidence as to the Rider's compliance (or non-compliance) with the strict Code prohibition on the presence/Use of a Prohibited Substance or Prohibited Method. Test distribution planning, Testing, post-Testing activity and all related activities conducted by FIM shall be in conformity with the International Standard for Testing and Investigations. FIM shall determine the number of finishing placement tests, random tests and target tests to be performed, in accordance with the criteria established by the International Standard for Testing and Investigations. All provisions of the International Standard for Testing and Investigations shall apply automatically in respect of all such Testing.

5.1.2

Investigations shall be undertaken:

5.1.2.1

in relation to Atypical Findings, Atypical Passport Findings and Adverse Passport Findings, in accordance with Articles 7.4 and 7.5 respectively, gathering intelligence or evidence (including, in particular, analytical evidence) in order to determine whether an anti-doping rule violation has occurred under Article 2.1 and/or Article 2.2; and

5.1.2.2

in relation to other indications of potential anti-doping rule violations, in accordance with Articles 7.6 and 7.7, gathering intelligence or evidence (including, in particular, non-analytical evidence) in order to determine whether an anti-doping rule violation has occurred under any of Articles 2.2 to 2.10.

5.1.3

FIM may obtain, assess and process anti-doping intelligence from all available sources, to inform the development of an effective, intelligent and proportionate test distribution plan, to plan Target Testing, and/or to form the basis of an investigation into a possible anti-doping rule violation(s).

5.2 Authority to conduct Testing

5.2.1

Subject to the jurisdictional limitations for Event Testing set out in Article 5.3 of the Code, FIM shall have In-Competition and Out-of-Competition Testing authority over all of the Riders specified in the Introduction to these Anti-Doping Rules (under the heading “Scope”).

5.2.2

FIM may require any Rider over whom it has Testing authority (including any Rider serving a period of Ineligibility) to provide a Sample at any time and at any place.

[Comment to Article 5.2.2: Unless the Rider has identified a 60-minute time-slot for Testing between the hours of 11pm and 6am, or has otherwise consented to Testing during that period, FIM will not test a Rider during that period unless it has a serious and specific suspicion that the Rider may be engaged in doping. A challenge to whether FIM had sufficient suspicion for Testing in that period shall not be a defense to an anti-doping rule violation based on such test or attempted test.]

5.2.3

WADA shall have In-Competition and Out-of-Competition Testing authority as set out in Article 20.7.8 of the Code.

5.2.4

If FIM delegates or contracts any part of Testing to a National Anti-Doping Organization (directly or through a FMN), that National Anti-Doping Organization may collect additional Samples or direct the laboratory to perform additional types of analysis at the National Anti-Doping Organization’s expense. If additional Samples are collected or additional types of analysis are performed, FIM shall be notified.

5.3 Event Testing

5.3.1

Except as provided in Article 5.3 of the Code, only a single organization should be responsible for initiating and directing Testing at Event Venues during an Event Period. At International Events, the collection of Samples shall be initiated and directed by FIM (or any other international organization which is the ruling body for the Event). At the request of FIM (or any other international organization which is the ruling body for an Event), any Testing during the Event Period outside of the Event Venues shall be coordinated with FIM (or the relevant ruling body of the Event).

5.3.2

If an Anti-Doping Organization which would otherwise have Testing authority but is not responsible for initiating and directing Testing at an Event desires to conduct Testing of Riders at the Event Venues during the Event Period, the Anti-Doping Organization shall first confer with FIM (or any other international organization which is the ruling body of the Event) to obtain permission to conduct and coordinate such Testing. If the Anti-Doping Organization is not satisfied with the response from FIM (or any other international organization which is the ruling body of the Event), the Anti-Doping Organization may ask WADA for permission to conduct Testing and to determine how to coordinate such Testing, in accordance with the procedures set out in the International Standard for Testing and Investigations.

WADA shall not grant approval for such Testing before consulting with and informing FIM (or any other international organization which is the ruling body for the Event). WADA's decision shall be final and not subject to appeal. Unless otherwise provided in the authorization to conduct Testing, such tests shall be considered Out-of-Competition tests. Results management for any such test shall be the responsibility of the Anti-Doping Organization initiating the test unless provided otherwise in the rules of the ruling body of the Event.

5.3.3

Every organiser of FIM's International Competitions or Events, (the list of those Competitions or Events will be published each year on the FIM's website) must ensure that, during the Event, the necessary facilities, Sample collection materials and Doping Control personnel are available, and the Testing procedures are correctly applied in accordance with the International Standard for Testing and Investigation and conducted by qualified persons so authorized.

5.3.4

At those FIM's International Competitions or Events, where FIM is the ruling body, the FIM's Administration shall be responsible for co-ordinating all Testing, in accordance with Article 5.3 of the Code.

5.3.5

The overall costs of Testing and Sample analysis is the responsibility of the organisers and/or the FMN of the country in which the Competition or Event is taking place. FIM may at its own discretion decide to take responsibility for those costs.

5.4 Test Distribution Planning

Consistent with the International Standard for Testing and Investigations, and in coordination with other Anti-Doping Organizations conducting Testing on the same Riders, FIM shall develop and implement an effective, intelligent and proportionate test distribution plan that prioritizes appropriately between disciplines, categories of Riders, types of Testing, types of Samples collected, and types of Sample analysis, all in compliance with the requirements of the International Standard for Testing and Investigations. FIM shall provide WADA upon request with a copy of its current test distribution plan.

FIM shall ensure that Rider Support Personnel and/or any other Person with a conflict of interest are not involved in anti-doping controls and test distribution plan for their Riders or in the process of selection of Riders for Testing. Such individuals will not be informed in advance of the presence at events of the FIM staff/Doping Control Officers coordinating the doping controls.

5.5 Coordination of Testing

Where reasonably feasible, Testing shall be coordinated through ADAMS or another system approved by WADA in order to maximize the effectiveness of the combined Testing effort and to avoid unnecessary repetitive Testing.

5.6 Rider Whereabouts Information

5.6.1

FIM may identify a Registered Testing Pool of those Riders who are required to comply with the whereabouts requirements of Annex I to the International Standard for Testing and Investigations, and shall make available through ADAMS, a list which identifies those Riders included in its Registered Testing Pool either by name or by clearly defined, specific criteria. FIM shall coordinate with National Anti-Doping Organizations the identification of such Riders and the collection of their whereabouts information. FIM shall review and update as necessary its criteria for including Riders in its Registered Testing Pool, and shall revise the membership of its Registered Testing Pool from time to time as appropriate in accordance with the set criteria. Riders shall be notified before they are included in a Registered Testing Pool and when they are removed from that pool. Each Rider in the Registered Testing Pool shall do the following, in each case in accordance with Annex I to the International Standard for Testing and Investigations: (a) advise FIM of his/her whereabouts on a quarterly basis; (b) update that information as necessary so that it remains accurate and complete at all times; and (c) make him/herself available for Testing at such whereabouts.

5.6.2

For purposes of Article 2.4, an Rider's failure to comply with the requirements of the International Standard for Testing and Investigations shall be deemed a filing failure or a missed test (as defined in the International Standard for Testing and Investigations) where the conditions set forth in the International Standard for Testing and Investigations for declaring a filing failure or missed test are met.

5.6.3

A Rider in FIM's Registered Testing Pool shall continue to be subject to the obligation to comply with the whereabouts requirements of Annex I to the International Standard for Testing and Investigations unless and until (a) the Rider gives written notice to FIM that he/she has retired or (b) FIM has informed him or her that he/she no longer satisfies the criteria for inclusion in FIM's Registered Testing Pool.

5.6.4

Whereabouts information relating to an Rider shall be shared (through ADAMS) with WADA and other Anti-Doping Organizations having authority to test that Rider, shall be maintained in strict confidence at all times, shall be used exclusively for the purposes set out in Article 5.6 of the Code, and shall be destroyed in accordance with the International Standard for the Protection of Privacy and Personal Information once it is no longer relevant for these purposes.

5.6.5

Each FMN shall use its best efforts to ensure that Riders in the FIM's Registered Testing Pool submit whereabouts information as required. However, the ultimate responsibility for providing whereabouts information rests with each Rider. Every FMN shall report to FIM the relevant contact details (names, postal and email addresses etc.) of all Riders identified to be part of the Registered Testing Pool established by FIM.

5.6.6. Testing Pool of Riders/National Teams

FIM may identify a Testing Pool of those Riders/National Teams who are required to comply with the FIM whereabouts requirements. A list which identifies those Riders/National Teams either by name or by clearly defined, specific criteria may be made available through the FIM website.

Riders shall be notified through the FIM or their FMNs before they are included in the Testing Pool and when they are removed from that pool. Each Rider in the Testing Pool shall provide to FIM at least the following information:

- a) An up-to-date mailing and e-mail address,
- b) Training whereabouts (including usual training venue/s addresses and usual timing of the training) and

- c) All national team activities (including training, camps and matches with accurate schedules and addresses)

The Riders/National Teams included in the Testing Pool shall provide the information on a regular basis, by the relevant deadline communicated by the FIM. The collecting of whereabouts shall be coordinated with the FMN and the National Anti-Doping Organisation and the FIM may delegate the responsibility to collect Testing Pool Rider Whereabouts Information to its FMNs.

More information about FIM Testing Pools and the current whereabouts requirements is found on the FIM website.

Every FMN shall report to FIM the relevant contact details (names, postal and email addresses etc.) of all Riders identified to be part of the Testing Pool established by FIM.

5.7 Selection of Riders to be tested

5.7.1

At its International Competitions or Events, FIM shall determine the number of finishing tests, random tests and target tests to be performed.

5.7.2

In order to ensure that Testing is conducted on a No Advance Notice Testing basis, the Anti-Doping Controls and the Rider selection decisions shall only disclosed in advance of Testing on a strict “need to know” basis to those who need to be informed in order for such Testing to be conducted. These people must strictly keep this information confidential. Failing to do so would be considered as a serious breach of the Anti-Doping Rules which may notably lead to an immediate withdrawal of their FIM licence(s) by the FIM Administration.

5.7.3

It is compulsory to test a minimum of three Riders for each Competition or a minimum of two from each class: normally at least one Rider from those who finish in the top three places and the others selected randomly or on a final position basis. If there are several classes (e.g. Superbike, Supersport, Superstock), the tests must be carried out in at least two classes.

5.7.4

The classes and riders to be tested will be determined by the FIM Anti-Doping Coordinator, the FIM Contact Person or the FIM Medical Representative. In addition, selection of further riders may be ordered at the discretion of the President of the International Jury so long as any Target Testing is not used for any purpose other than legitimate Doping Control purposes.

5.7.5

The organizer/circuit of an FIM World Championship Event in **Circuit Racing, MXGP/MX2 and Supercross** World Championship Event should be able to provide the FIM Contact Person at each Event (competition) with a minimum of 6 persons holding the appropriate passes (at least Paddock) who would perform the duty of Chaperone in case of doping controls at the particular Event.

For all other Events, the organizer/circuit of an FIM World Championship or Prize event should be able to provide the FIM Contact Person at each Event with a minimum of 3 persons holding the appropriate passes (at least Paddock) who would perform the duty of Chaperone in case of doping controls at the particular Event.

Role of Chaperone:

Person who is trained and authorized by the FIM to carry out specific duties including one or more of the following: notification of the rider selected for sample collection, accompanying and observing the rider until arrival at the doping control station, and/or witnessing and verifying the provision of the sample where the training qualifies him/her to do so.

5.8 In-Competition Testing

5.8.1

Upon selection of a Rider for Doping Control during a Competition, the following procedures shall be followed.

5.8.2

The Person responsible for notifying the Rider for Doping Control (whether the Doping Control Officer (DCO) or Chaperone) shall write the name of the Rider on the official notification form and present it to the Rider, as discreetly as possible, as soon as possible immediately after the Rider has completed his competition. The Rider shall sign to confirm receipt of the notification and retain a copy. The time of signing shall be recorded on the form. The Rider must stay in view of the Chaperone until reporting to the Doping Control Station.

5.8.3

If a Rider refuses to sign the notification form, the Chaperone shall immediately report this to the Doping Control Officer who shall make every effort to inform the Rider of his obligation to undergo Doping Control and the consequences of his not submitting himself to the control. If the Rider fails or refuses to sign this notice or fails to report to the Doping Control Station as required, the Rider shall be deemed to have refused to submit to Doping Control for the purpose of Articles 2.3 and 10.3.1 of these rules.

Even if the Rider indicates reluctance to report to the Doping Control Station, the Chaperone shall keep the Rider in view until there is no question that the Rider has refused to submit to Doping Control.

5.8.4

The Rider is required to report immediately to the Doping Control Station, unless there is a valid reason for a delay, as determined in accordance with Article 5.8.8.

5.8.5

The Rider shall be entitled to be accompanied to the Doping Control Station by (i) a Competition-accredited representative from his Team, and (ii) an interpreter if required.

5.8.6

Minor Riders shall be entitled to be accompanied by a representative, but the representative cannot directly observe the passing of the urine Sample unless requested to do so by the Minor.

5.8.7

The Rider must show a valid identification document at the Doping Control Station. The Rider's time of arrival at the Doping Control Station shall be recorded on the doping control form.

5.8.8

The Rider has the right to ask the DCO or Chaperone for permission to delay reporting to the Doping Control Station and/or to leave the Doping Control Station temporarily after arrival, but the request may be granted only if the Rider can be continuously Chaperoned and kept under direct observation during the delay, and if the request relates to the following activities:

- a) Participation in a presentation ceremony;
- b) Fulfilment of media commitments;
- c) Competing in further Competitions;
- d) Performing a warm down;
- e) Obtaining necessary medical treatment;
- f) Locating a representative and/or interpreter;
- g) Obtaining photo identification; or
- h) Any other reasonable circumstances as determined by the DCO, taking into account any instructions of FIM or other Testing Authority with jurisdiction at an Event.

5.8.9

Only the following persons may be present in the Doping Control Station:

- a) The Doping Control Officer/s and the Chaperone/s.
- b) Staff assigned to the station

- c) Authorized interpreters
- d) The Riders selected for Doping Control and their representative or accompanying person
- e) The WADA's Independent Observer
- f) Any other Person appointed by FIM

The news media shall not be admitted to the Doping Control Station.

The doors of the station must not be left open.

No photography or filming shall be permitted in the Doping Control Station during the hours of operation, unless authorized by the rider(s) and the Doping Control Officer(s).

Out-of-Competition Testing

5.8.10

Out-Of-Competition Testing may be conducted by FIM, WADA or a National Anti-Doping Organization (or agencies appointed by them) at any time or location in any member country. This Testing shall be carried out without any advance notice to the Rider or his FMN. Every Rider affiliated with a FMN is obliged to undergo Out-of-Competition Testing as decided by the FIM, WADA or the National Anti-Doping Organization.

5.9 Procedures

5.9.1

The Testing procedure shall be in conformity with the requirements of the International Standard for Testing and Investigations. The article below provides information on procedure for the collection of Samples under the jurisdiction of FIM at FIM Competitions and Event and also for Out-of-Competition Testing. In the event of any conflict with the International Standard for Testing and Investigations, the International Standard for Testing and Investigations shall prevail.

5.9.2

Each Rider asked to provide a Sample shall also provide information on an official Doping Control Form. The Rider's name, post and email addresses, his country, telephone numbers, the code number of the Sample and the event identification will be entered into the form. The Rider shall declare any medication and nutritional supplements that he/she has used in the preceding seven (7) days. The form shall also provide the names of the people present at the Doping Control Station involved with the obtaining of the Sample, including the Doping Control Officer (DCO) in charge of the station. Any irregularities must be registered on the form. The form shall include at least four copies for distribution as follows:

- a) a copy to be retained by the DCO for forwarding to the FIM Office by the day after the Competition;
- b) a copy to be given to the Rider;
- c) a special copy to be sent to the Laboratory which is to conduct the analysis - this laboratory copy must be so designed that it does not contain any information which could identify the Rider who provided the Sample;
- d) an extra copy, for distribution as the FIM deems appropriate and in accordance with the International Standard for Protection of Privacy and Personal Information.

5.9.3

The Rider shall select a sealed collection vessel from a number of such vessels, visually check that it is empty and clean, and proceed to provide the required amount of urine established in the International Standard for Testing and Investigation under the direct supervision of, and within the view of, the DCO or appropriate official (Chaperone/s) who shall be of the same gender as the Rider.

Sample Collection Equipment systems shall, at a minimum, meet the following criteria.

They shall:

- a) Have a unique numbering system incorporated into all bottles, containers, tubes or other items used to seal the Sample;
- b) Have a sealing system that is tamper-evident;

- c) Ensure the identity of the Rider is not evident from the equipment itself; and
- d) Ensure that all equipment is clean and sealed prior to use by the Rider.

To ensure authenticity of the Sample, the DCO and/or Chaperone will require such disrobing as is necessary to confirm the urine is produced by the Rider. No one other than the Rider and the person authorized by these rules shall be present when the urine sample is collected. Blood Testing may be performed prior to, after or instead of a urine Sample.

5.9.4

The Rider shall remain in the Doping Control Station until he or she has fulfilled the duty to pass an adequate quantity of urine. If the Rider is unable to provide the required amount, the urine which is collected shall be sealed in a container and the seal shall be broken when the Rider is ready to provide more urine. The Rider may be required to retain custody of the sealed container while waiting to provide more urine.

5.9.5

When the Rider has provided the required volume of urine, he or she shall select from a number of such kits a sealed urine control kit, containing two containers for Samples A and B. The Rider shall check to be sure the containers are empty and clean.

5.9.6

The Rider, or his representative, shall pour approximately two-thirds of the urine from the collection vessel into the A bottle and one-third into the B bottle which are then sealed as provided for in the International Standard for Testing and Investigations. Having closed both bottles the Rider shall check that no leakage can occur. The DCO may, with permission of the Rider, assist the Rider with the procedures in this article. The Rider must also verify at each step in the Doping Control procedure that each bottle has the same code and that this is the same code as entered on the Doping Control Form.

5.9.7

The DCO should continue to collect additional Samples until the requirement for Suitable Specific Gravity for Analysis is met, or until the DCO determines that there are exceptional circumstances which mean that for logistical reasons it is impossible to continue with the Sample Collection Session. Such exceptional circumstances shall be documented accordingly by the DCO.

5.9.8

The Rider shall certify, by signing the Doping Control Form (see Art. 5.10.2), that the entire process has been performed in compliance with the procedures outlined above. The Rider shall also record any irregularities or procedural deviations he/she identifies. Any irregularities or procedural deviations identified by the Rider's accredited representative (if present), the DCO, or station staff shall be recorded on the form. The form will also be signed by the Rider's accredited representative (if present).

5.9.9

The accumulation of Samples may take place over time before dispatch to the laboratory. During this time, the Samples must be kept secure. If there is prolonged delay in dispatching the Samples to the laboratory, storage in a cool, secure place is necessary to ensure no possible deterioration could occur. The DCO should detail and document the location where Samples are stored and who has custody of the Samples and/or is permitted access to the Samples.

5.9.10

At FIM International Events, the FMN and/or the Organizers of the Event must ensure that a Doping Control Station reasonably separated from public activities with the following minimum requirements is set up for the event:

- one (1) private room ("Doping Control Station") exclusively dedicated for use by the DCO and doping control personnel with one (1) table, two (2) chairs, pens and paper, and one (1) lockable refrigerator for storage of samples; and

- a waiting room/area with a suitable number of chairs, clothes hangers and hooks and possibly some reading material, as well as an appropriate amount of individually sealed, non-caffeinated and non-alcoholic beverages, which includes a mix of natural mineral water and soft drinks; and
- one (1) private, clean and equipped bathroom/toilet with a wash basin, adjacent or as near as possible to the Doping Control Station and waiting area.

5.9.11

The FMN and/or the Organizers must also ensure that at least one (1) staff member is designated who is able to act as point-of-contact and support for the Doping Control Officer/s (DCOs) and the Chaperone/s during the Doping Control mission, with the contact name and details of this staff member to be communicated to the FIM Administration at least four (4) weeks prior to the starting date of the Event.

Prior to the Event, the FIM Anti-Doping administrator and/or its delegate may communicate to the FMN and/or the Organisers a specific number of Chaperones. The FMN and/or Organisers shall accordingly be required to provide the number of Chaperones so requested.

5.10 Additional Procedures related to the collection of Samples while Out-Of-Competition.

5.10.1

When a Rider has been selected for No Advance Notice Testing, the DCO will arrive unannounced at the Rider's training camp, accommodation or any other place where the Rider may be found. The DCO shall show proof of identity and provide a copy of his letter of authority. The DCO shall also require proof of identity of the Rider. The actual collection of the Sample shall be in accordance with the International Standard for Testing and Investigation.

5.10.2

As the DCO's arrival is with No Advance Notice, he should give the Rider reasonable time to complete any reasonable activity in which he is engaged under the observation of the DCO, but Testing should commence as soon as possible.

5.10.3

Each Rider selected for Out-of-Competition Testing shall complete a Doping Control Form similar to the form described in Article 5.10.1.

5.10.4

If the Rider refuses to provide a urine Sample, the DCO shall note this on the Doping Control Form, sign his name on the form and ask the Rider to sign the form. The DCO shall also note any other irregularities in the Doping Control process.

5.10.5

The nature of Out-of-Competition Doping Control requires that no prior warning is given to the Rider. Every effort will be made by the DCO to collect the Sample speedily and efficiently with the minimum of interruption to the Rider's training, social or work arrangements. If there is an interruption, however, no Rider may take action to gain compensation for any inconvenience or other loss incurred. Furthermore, any interruption for Testing shall not be a defense to an anti-doping rule violation based on such test or attempted test.

In the event that there is any conflict between this Article and the provisions of the International Standard for Testing and Investigations, the International Standard for Testing and Investigations, the International Standard for Testing and Investigations shall prevail.

5.11 Retired Riders Returning to Competition

5.11.1

A Rider in FIM's Registered Testing Pool who has given notice of retirement to FIM may not resume competing in International Events or National Events until he/she has given FIM written notice of his/her intent to resume competing and has made him/herself available for Testing for a period of six months before returning to Competition, including (if requested) complying with the whereabouts requirements of Annex I to the International Standard for Testing and Investigations. WADA, in consultation with FIM and the Rider's National Anti-Doping Organization, may grant an exemption to the six-month written notice rule where the strict application of that rule would be manifestly unfair to a Rider. This decision may be appealed under Article 13. Any competitive results obtained in violation of this Article 5.11.1 shall be Disqualified.

5.11.2

If a Rider retires from sport while subject to a period of Ineligibility, the Rider shall not resume competing in International Events or National Events until the Rider has given six months prior written notice (or notice equivalent to the period of Ineligibility remaining as of the date the Rider retired, if that period was longer than six months) to FIM and to his/her National Anti-Doping Organization of his/her intent to resume competing and has made him/herself available for Testing for that notice period, including (if requested) complying with the whereabouts requirements of Annex I to the International Standard for Testing and Investigations.

5.12 Independent Observer Program

FIM and the organisers for FIM's Events, as well as the FMNs and the organisers for National Events, shall authorize and facilitate the Independent Observer Program at such Events.

ARTICLE 6 ANALYSIS OF SAMPLES

Samples shall be analyzed in accordance with the following principles:

6.1 Use of Accredited and Approved Laboratories

For purposes of Article 2.1, Samples shall be analyzed only in laboratories accredited or otherwise approved by WADA. The choice of the WADA-accredited or WADA-approved laboratory used for the Sample analysis shall be determined exclusively by FIM.

[Comment to Article 6.1: Violations of Article 2.1 may be established only by Sample analysis performed by a laboratory accredited or otherwise approved by WADA. Violations of other Articles may be established using analytical results from other laboratories so long as the results are reliable.]

6.2 Purpose of Analysis of Samples

6.2.1

Samples shall be analyzed to detect Prohibited Substances and Prohibited Methods and other substances as may be directed by WADA pursuant to the Monitoring Program described in Article 4.5 of the Code; or to assist FIM in profiling relevant parameters in a Rider's urine, blood or other matrix, including DNA or genomic profiling; or for any other legitimate anti-doping purpose. Samples may be collected and stored for future analysis.

[Comment to Article 6.2.1: For example, relevant profile information could be used to direct Target Testing or to support an anti-doping rule violation proceeding under Article 2.2, or both.]

6.2.2

FIM shall ask laboratories to analyze Samples in conformity with Article 6.4 of the Code and Article 4.7 of the International Standard for Testing and Investigations.

6.3 Research on Samples

No Sample may be used for research without the Rider's written consent. Samples used for purposes other than Article 6.2 shall have any means of identification removed such that they cannot be traced back to a particular Rider.

6.4 Standards for Sample Analysis and Reporting

Laboratories shall analyze Samples and report results in conformity with the International Standard for Laboratories. To ensure effective Testing, the Technical Document referenced at Article 5.4.1 of the Code will establish risk assessment-based Sample analysis menus appropriate for particular sports and sport disciplines, and laboratories shall analyze Samples in conformity with those menus, except as follows:

6.4.1

FIM may request that laboratories analyze its Samples using more extensive menus than those described in the Technical Document.

6.4.2

FIM may request that laboratories analyze its Samples using less extensive menus than those described in the Technical Document only if it has satisfied WADA that, because of the particular circumstances of its sport, as set out in its test distribution plan, less extensive analysis would be appropriate.

6.4.3

As provided in the International Standard for Laboratories, laboratories at their own initiative and expense may analyze Samples for Prohibited Substances or Prohibited Methods not included on the Sample analysis menu described in the Technical Document or specified by the Testing authority. Results from any such analysis shall be reported and have the same validity and consequence as any other analytical result.

[Comment to Article 6.4: The objective of this Article is to extend the principle of “intelligent Testing” to the Sample analysis menu so as to most effectively and efficiently detect doping. It is recognized that the resources available to fight doping are limited and that increasing the Sample analysis menu may, in some sports and countries, reduce the number of Samples which can be analyzed.]

6.5 Further Analysis of Samples

Any Sample may be stored and subsequently subjected to further analysis for the purposes set out in Article 6.2: (a) by WADA at any time; and/or (b) by FIM at any time before both the A and B Sample analytical results (or A Sample result where B Sample analysis has been waived or will not be performed) have been communicated by FIM to the Rider as the asserted basis for an Article 2.1 anti-doping rule violation. Such further analysis of Samples shall conform with the requirements of the International Standard for Laboratories and the International Standard for Testing and Investigations.

ARTICLE 7 RESULTS MANAGEMENT

7.1 Responsibility for Conducting Results Management

7.1.1

The circumstances in which FIM shall take responsibility for conducting results management in respect of anti-doping rule violations involving Riders and other Persons under its jurisdiction shall be determined by reference to and in accordance with Article 7 of the Code.

7.1.2

The FIM Administration will conduct the review discussed in Articles 7.2, 7.3, 7.4, 7.5 and 7.6. The review prescribed in Article 7.7 should be conducted by a Doping Review Panel consisting of a Chair (who may be a person of the FIM Administration) and at least 2 other members with experience in anti-doping.

7.2 Review of Adverse Analytical Findings from Tests Initiated by FIM

Results management in respect of the results of tests initiated by FIM (including tests performed by WADA pursuant to agreement with FIM) shall proceed as follows:

7.2.1

The results from all analyses must be sent to FIM in encoded form, in a report signed by an authorized representative of the laboratory. All communication must be conducted confidentially and in conformity with ADAMS.

7.2.2

Upon receipt of an Adverse Analytical Finding, FIM Administration shall conduct a review to determine whether: (a) an applicable TUE has been granted or will be granted as provided in the International Standard for Therapeutic Use Exemptions, or (b) there is any apparent departure from the International Standard for Testing and Investigations or International Standard for Laboratories that caused the Adverse Analytical Finding.

7.2.3

If the review of an Adverse Analytical Finding under Article 7.2.2 reveals an applicable TUE or departure from the International Standard for Testing and Investigations or the International Standard for Laboratories that caused the Adverse Analytical Finding, the entire test shall be considered negative and the Rider, the Rider's National Anti-Doping Organization and WADA shall be so informed.

7.3 Notification After Review Regarding Adverse Analytical Findings

7.3.1

If the review of an Adverse Analytical Finding under Article 7.2.2 does not reveal an applicable TUE or entitlement to a TUE as provided in the International Standard for Therapeutic Use Exemptions, or departure from the International Standard for Testing and Investigations or the International Standard for Laboratories that caused the Adverse Analytical Finding, FIM Administration shall promptly notify the Rider, and simultaneously the Rider's National Anti-Doping Organization and WADA, in the manner set out in Article 14.1, of: (a) the Adverse Analytical Finding; (b) the anti-doping rule violated; (c) the Rider's right to promptly request the analysis of the B Sample or, failing such request, that the B Sample analysis may be deemed waived; (d) the scheduled date, time and place for the B Sample analysis if the Rider or FIM chooses to request an analysis of the B Sample; (e) the opportunity for the Rider and/or the Rider's representative to attend the B Sample opening and analysis in accordance with the International Standard for Laboratories if such analysis is requested; and (f) the Rider's right to request copies of the A and B Sample laboratory documentation package which includes information as required by the International Standard for Laboratories; If FIM decides not to bring forward the Adverse Analytical Finding as an anti-doping rule violation, it shall so notify the Rider, the Rider's National Anti-Doping Organization and WADA.

7.3.2

Where requested by the Rider or FIM Administration, arrangements shall be made to analyze the B Sample in accordance with the International Standard for Laboratories. A Rider may accept the A Sample analytical results by waiving the requirement for B Sample analysis. FIM may nonetheless elect to proceed with the B Sample analysis.

7.3.3

The Rider and/or his representative shall be allowed to be present at the analysis of the B Sample. Also, a representative of FIM shall be allowed to be present.

7.3.4

If the B Sample analysis does not confirm the A Sample analysis, then (unless FIM takes the case forward as an anti-doping rule violation under Article 2.2) the entire test shall be considered negative and the Rider, the Rider's National Anti-Doping Organization and WADA shall be so informed.

7.3.5

If the B Sample analysis confirms the A Sample analysis, the findings shall be reported to the Rider, the Rider's National Anti-Doping Organization and to WADA.

7.4 Review of Atypical Findings

7.4.1

As provided in the International Standard for Laboratories, in some circumstances laboratories are directed to report the presence of Prohibited Substances, which may also be produced endogenously, as Atypical Findings, i.e., as findings that are subject to further investigation.

7.4.2

Upon receipt of an Atypical Finding, FIM Administration shall conduct a review to determine whether: (a) an applicable TUE has been granted or will be granted as provided in the International Standard for Therapeutic Use Exemptions, or (b) there is any apparent departure from the International Standard for Testing and Investigations or International Standard for Laboratories that caused the Atypical Finding.

7.4.3

If the review of an Atypical Finding under Article 7.4.2 reveals an applicable TUE or a departure from the International Standard for Testing and Investigations or the International Standard for Laboratories that caused the Atypical Finding, the entire test shall be considered negative and the Rider, the Rider's National Anti-Doping Organization and WADA shall be so informed.

7.4.4

If that review does not reveal an applicable TUE or a departure from the International Standard for Testing and Investigations or the International Standard for Laboratories that caused the Atypical Finding, FIM Administration shall conduct the required investigation or cause it to be conducted. After the investigation is completed, either the Atypical Finding will be brought forward as an Adverse Analytical Finding, in accordance with Article 7.3.1, or else the Rider, the Rider's National Anti-Doping Organization and WADA shall be notified that the Atypical Finding will not be brought forward as an Adverse Analytical Finding.

7.4.5

FIM Administration will not provide notice of an Atypical Finding until it has completed its investigation and has decided whether it will bring the Atypical Finding forward as an Adverse Analytical Finding unless one of the following circumstances exists:

7.4.5.1

If FIM Administration determines the B Sample should be analyzed prior to the conclusion of its investigation, it may conduct the B Sample analysis after notifying the Rider, with such notice to include a description of the Atypical Finding and the information described in Article 7.3.1(d)-(f).

7.4.5.2

If FIM is asked (a) by a Major Event Organization shortly before one of its International Events, or (b) by a sport organization responsible for meeting an imminent deadline for selecting team members for an International Event, to disclose whether any Rider identified on a list provided by the Major Event Organization or sport organization has a pending Atypical Finding, FIM shall so advise the Major Event Organization or sports organization after first providing notice of the Atypical Finding to the Rider.

7.5 Review of Atypical Passport Findings and Adverse Passport Findings

Review of Atypical Passport Findings and Adverse Passport Findings shall take place as provided in the International Standard for Testing and Investigations and International Standard for Laboratories. At such time as FIM Administration is satisfied that an anti-doping rule violation has occurred, it shall promptly give the Rider (and simultaneously the Rider's National Anti-Doping Organization and WADA) notice of the anti-doping rule violation asserted and the basis of that assertion.

7.6 Review of Whereabouts Failures

The FIM Administration shall review potential filing failures and missed tests, as defined in the International Standard for Testing and Investigations, in respect of Riders who file their whereabouts information with FIM, in accordance with Annex I to the International Standard for Testing and Investigations. At such time as the FIM Administration is satisfied that an Article 2.4 anti-doping rule violation has occurred, it shall promptly give the Rider (and simultaneously the Rider's National Anti-Doping Organization and WADA) notice that it is asserting a violation of Article 2.4 and the basis of that assertion.

7.7 Review of Other Anti-Doping Rule Violations Not Covered by Articles 7.2 -7.6

The FIM Doping Review Panel shall conduct any follow-up investigation required into a possible anti-doping rule violation not covered by Articles 7.2-7.6. At such time as the FIM Doping Review Panel is satisfied that an anti-doping rule violation has occurred, it shall promptly give the Rider or other Person (and simultaneously the Rider's or other Person's National Anti-Doping Organization and WADA) notice of the anti-doping rule violation asserted and the basis of that assertion.

7.8 Identification of Prior Anti-Doping Rule Violations

Before giving a Rider or other Person notice of an asserted anti-doping rule violation as provided above, FIM shall refer to ADAMS and contact WADA and other relevant Anti-Doping Organizations to determine whether any prior anti-doping rule violation exists.

7.9 Provisional Suspensions

7.9.1 Mandatory Provisional Suspension:

If analysis of an A Sample has resulted in an Adverse Analytical Finding for a Prohibited Substance that is not a Specified Substance, or for a Prohibited Method, and a review in accordance with Article 7.2.2 does not reveal an applicable TUE or departure from the International Standard for Testing and Investigations or the International Standard for Laboratories that caused the Adverse Analytical Finding, a Provisional Suspension shall be imposed upon or promptly after the notification described in Articles 7.2, 7.3 or 7.5.

7.9.2 Optional Provisional Suspension:

In case of an Adverse Analytical Finding for a Specified Substance, or in the case of any other anti-doping rule violations not covered by Article 7.9.1, FIM Administration may impose a Provisional Suspension on the Rider or other Person against whom the anti-doping rule violation is asserted at any time after the review and notification described in Articles 7.2-7.7 and prior to the final hearing as described in Article 8.

7.9.3

Where a Provisional Suspension is imposed pursuant to Article 7.9.1 or Article 7.9.2, the Rider or other Person shall be given either: (a) an opportunity for a Provisional Hearing either before or on a timely basis after imposition of the Provisional Suspension, upon request by the Rider or other Person; or (b) an opportunity for an expedited final hearing in accordance with Article 8 on a timely basis after imposition of the Provisional Suspension.

Furthermore, the Rider or other Person has a right to appeal from the Provisional Suspension in accordance with Article 13.2 (save as set out in Article 7.9.3.1).

7.9.3.1

The Provisional Suspension shall take effect from the day indicated in its notification to the Rider. The notification may be made by any available means, including by fax or e-mail and via the Rider's club, team or FMN.

7.9.3.2

The Rider may request that the Provisional Suspension be lifted. The request shall be filed before the International Disciplinary Court (CDI) in writing with a statement of the reasons within 15 (fifteen) days of receipt of the notification of the Provisional Suspension. The proceedings will be conducted exclusively on the basis of written submissions. Any oral or ungrounded request will be found inadmissible. The Provisional Hearing shall consider only whether the Provisional Suspension shall be maintained until the full consideration of the case by the International Disciplinary Court (CDI) in the framework of a final Hearing in accordance with Article 8.

7.9.3.3

The Provisional Suspension may be lifted if the Rider demonstrates to the hearing panel that the violation is likely to have involved a Contaminated Product. A hearing panel's decision not to lift a mandatory Provisional Suspension on account of the Rider's assertion regarding a Contaminated Product shall not be appealable.

7.9.3.4

The Provisional Suspension shall be imposed (or shall not be lifted) unless the Rider or other Person establishes that: (a) the assertion of an anti-doping rule violation has no reasonable prospect of being upheld, e.g., because of a patent flaw in the case against the Rider or other Person; or (b) the Rider or other Person has a strong arguable case that he/she bears No Fault or Negligence for the anti-doping rule violation(s) asserted, so that any period of Ineligibility that might otherwise be imposed for such a violation is likely to be completely eliminated by application of Article 10.4; or (c) some other facts exist that make it clearly unfair, in all of the circumstances, to impose a Provisional Suspension prior to a final hearing in accordance with Article 8. This ground is to be construed narrowly, and applied only in truly exceptional circumstances. For example, the fact that the Provisional Suspension would prevent the Rider or other Person participating in a particular Competition or Event shall not qualify as exceptional circumstances for these purposes.

7.9.3.5

Neither a Provisional Suspension imposed by the FIM administration nor any decision taken by the International Disciplinary Court (CDI) in connection with a Provisional Hearing will in any way prejudice the question as to whether an anti-doping rule violation has actually been committed (the existence of an anti-doping rule violation and of a disciplinary responsibility is to be addressed by the CDI when the latter adjudicates on the merits of the case in the framework of a final Hearing in accordance with Article 8; nor will any such Provisional Suspension or decision give rise under any circumstances to any claim (from the Rider or any other affected party), should such violation not be upheld at a later stage in the procedure.

7.9.3.6

Should the Rider waive the opportunity to request a Provisional Hearing, a final Hearing in accordance with Article 8 will (in principle) be convened by the International Disciplinary Court (CDI) within three (3) months after the notification of the potential anti-doping rule violation(s).

7.9.4

If a Provisional Suspension is imposed based on an A Sample Adverse Analytical Finding and subsequent analysis of the B Sample does not confirm the A Sample analysis, then the Rider shall not be subject to any further Provisional Suspension on account of a violation of Article 2.1. In circumstances where the Rider (or the Rider's team) has been removed from a Competition based on a violation of Article 2.1 and the subsequent B Sample analysis does not confirm the A Sample finding, then if it is still possible for the Rider or team to be reinserted, without otherwise affecting the Competition, the Rider or team may continue to take part in the Competition. In addition, the Rider or team may thereafter take part in other Competitions in the same Event.

7.9.5

In all cases where a Rider or other Person has been notified of an anti-doping rule violation but a Provisional Suspension has not been imposed on him or her, the Rider or other Person shall be offered the opportunity to accept a Provisional Suspension voluntarily pending the resolution of the matter.

[Comment to Article 7.9: Riders and other Persons shall receive credit for a Provisional Suspension against any period of Ineligibility which is ultimately imposed. See Articles 10.11.3.1 and 10.11.3.2.]

7.10 Resolution without a Hearing

7.10.1 Agreement between parties

At any time during the results management process the Rider or other Person may agree with FIM Administration on the Consequences which are either mandated by the Code or which the FIM Administration considers appropriate where discretion as to Consequences exists under these Rules and the Code. The agreement shall state the full reasons for any period of Ineligibility agreed upon, including (if applicable) a justification for why the discretion as to Consequences was applied. Such agreement shall be deemed to be a decision made under these Anti-Doping Rules within the meaning of Article 13. The decision will be reported to the parties with a right to appeal under Article 13.2.3 as provided in Article 14.2.2 and shall be published in accordance with Article 14.3.2.

7.10.2 Waiver of hearing

A Rider or other Person against whom an anti-doping rule violation is asserted may waive a hearing expressly.

Alternatively, if the Rider or other Person against whom an anti-doping rule violation is asserted fails to request a hearing and/or to dispute that assertion within the deadline specified in the notice sent by the FIM Administration asserting the violation, then he/she shall be deemed to have waived a hearing.

7.10.3 Process in case of Rider's waiving of hearing

In cases where Article 7.10.2 applies, a hearing before a hearing panel shall not be required. Instead FIM's Administration will refer the case to the International Disciplinary Court (CDI) for adjudication, transmitting all the available documents of the case.

The International Disciplinary Court (CDI) shall promptly issue a written decision (in accordance with Article 8.2) about the commission of the anti-doping rule violation and the Consequences imposed as a result, and setting out the full reasons for any period of Ineligibility imposed, including (if applicable) a justification for why the maximum potential period of Ineligibility was not imposed. The FIM shall send copies of that decision to other Anti-Doping Organizations with a right to appeal under Article 13.2.3, and shall Publicly Disclose that decision in accordance with Article 14.3.2.

7.11 Notification of Results Management Decisions

In all cases where FIM has asserted the commission of an anti-doping rule violation, withdrawn the assertion of an anti-doping rule violation, imposed a Provisional Suspension, or agreed with a Rider or other Person on the imposition of Consequences without a hearing, FIM shall give notice thereof in accordance with Article 14.2.1 to other Anti-Doping Organizations with a right to appeal under Article 13.2.3.

7.12 Retirement from Sport

If a Rider or other Person retires while FIM is conducting the results management process, FIM retains jurisdiction to complete its results management process. If a Rider or other Person retires before any results management process has begun, and FIM would have had results management authority over the Rider or other Person at the time the Rider or other Person committed an anti-doping rule violation, FIM has authority to conduct results management in respect of that anti-doping rule violation.

[Comment to Article 7.12: Conduct by a Rider or other Person before the Rider or other Person was subject to the jurisdiction of any Anti-Doping Organization would not constitute an anti-doping rule violation but could be a legitimate basis for denying the Rider or other Person membership in a sports organization.]

ARTICLE 8 RIGHT TO A FAIR HEARING

8.1 Principles for a Fair Hearing

8.1.1

When FIM sends a notice to a Rider or other Person asserting an anti-doping rule violation, and there is no agreement in accordance with Article 7.10.1 or the Rider or other Person does not waive a hearing in accordance with Article 7.10.2, then the case shall be referred to the International Disciplinary Court (CDI) for hearing and adjudication pursuant to Article 3.3.2 of the FIM Disciplinary and Arbitration Code (CDA). The member(s) of the CDI is (are) nominated among the International Judicial Commission (CJI).. The member(s) of the CDI is (are) appointed among the International Commission of Judges (CJI).

For each case, the CDI is composed of 1 or 3 judges. The judge(s) must have had no prior involvement with the case and must not be of the same nationality as the Rider or the other Person(s) alleged to have violated the rules. The names of judges appointed must be communicated to all interested parties in the case, who have the right to make a duly documented objection to the composition of the Court, either in total or in part, within three days of receiving the information.

8.1.2

Hearings shall be scheduled and completed within a reasonable time. Hearings held in connection with Events that are subject to these Anti-Doping Rules may be conducted by an expedited process where permitted by the hearing panel.

[Comment to Article 8.1.2: For example, a hearing could be expedited on the eve of a major Event where the resolution of the anti-doping rule violation is necessary to determine the Rider's eligibility to participate in the Event, or during an Event where the resolution of the case will affect the validity of the Rider's results or continued participation in the Event.]

8.1.3

The International Disciplinary Court (CDI) shall determine the procedure to be followed at the hearing.

The hearing process shall respect the following principles:

- a) the right of each party to be represented by counsel (at the party's own expenses) or to be accompanied by a Person chosen by each party;

- b) the right to respond to the asserted anti-doping rule violation and make submissions with respect to the resulting Consequences;
- c) the right of each party to present evidence, including the right to call and question witnesses; and,
- d) the Rider's or other Person's right to an interpreter at the hearing.

The International Disciplinary Court (CDI) shall have jurisdiction to determine which party shall bear the responsibility for the cost of the interpreter.

8.1.4

WADA and the FMN of the Rider or other Person may attend the hearing as observers. In any event, FIM shall keep WADA fully apprised as to the status of pending cases and the result of all hearings.

8.1.5

The International Disciplinary Court (CDI) shall act in a fair and impartial manner towards all parties at all times.

8.2 Decisions

8.2.1

The International Disciplinary Court (CDI) shall issue a written decision within 45 days from the date of the end of the hearing or from the date the case has been referred to the panel when the hearing has been waived in accordance with Article 7.10.2. The decision shall include the full reasons for the decision and for any period of Ineligibility imposed, including (if applicable) a justification for why the greatest potential Consequences were not imposed.

The decision shall be written in English or in French.

8.2.2

The decision may be appealed to the CAS as provided in Article 13. Copies of the decision shall be provided to the Rider or other Person and to other Anti-Doping Organizations with a right to appeal under Article 13.2.3.

8.2.3

If no appeal is brought against the decision, then (a) if the decision is that an anti-doping rule violation was committed, the decision shall be Publicly Disclosed as provided in Article 14.3.2; but (b) if the decision is that no anti-doping rule violation was committed, then the decision shall only be Publicly Disclosed with the consent of the Rider or other Person who is the subject of the decision. FIM shall use reasonable efforts to obtain such consent, and if consent is obtained, shall Publicly Disclose the decision in its entirety or in such redacted form as the Rider or other Person may approve.

The principles contained at Article 14.3.6 shall be applied in cases involving a Minor.

8.3 Single Hearing Before CAS

Cases asserting anti-doping rule violations may be heard directly at CAS, with no requirement for a prior hearing, with the consent of the Rider, FIM, WADA, and any other Anti-Doping Organization that would have had a right to appeal a first instance hearing decision to CAS.

[Comment to Article 8.3: Where all of the parties identified in this Article are satisfied that their interests will be adequately protected in a single hearing, there is no need to incur the extra expense of two hearings. An Anti-Doping Organization that wants to participate in the CAS hearing as a party or as an observer may condition its approval of a single hearing on being granted that right.]

ARTICLE 9 AUTOMATIC DISQUALIFICATION OF INDIVIDUAL RESULTS

An anti-doping rule violation in Individual Sports in connection with an In-Competition test automatically leads to Disqualification of the result obtained in that Competition with all resulting Consequences, including forfeiture of any medals, points and prizes.

[Comment to Article 9: For Team Sports, any awards received by individual players will be Disqualified. However, Disqualification of the team will be as provided in Article 11. In sports which are not Team Sports but where awards are given to teams, Disqualification or other disciplinary action against the team when one or more team members have committed an anti-doping rule violation shall be as provided in the applicable rules of the International Federation.]

ARTICLE 10 SANCTIONS ON INDIVIDUALS

10.1 Disqualification of Results in the Event during which an Anti-Doping Rule Violation Occurs

An anti-doping rule violation occurring during or in connection with an Event may, upon the decision of the ruling body of the Event, lead to Disqualification of all of the Rider's individual results obtained in that Event with all Consequences, including forfeiture of all medals, points and prizes, except as provided in Article 10.1.1.

Factors to be included in considering whether to Disqualify other results in an Event might include, for example, the seriousness of the Rider's anti-doping rule violation and whether the Rider tested negative in the other Competitions.

[Comment to Article 10.1: Whereas Article 9 Disqualifies the result in a single Competition in which the Rider tested positive (e.g., the 100 meter backstroke), this Article may lead to Disqualification of all results in all races during the Event (e.g., the FINA World Championships).]

10.1.1

If the Rider establishes that he or she bears No Fault or Negligence for the violation, the Rider's individual results in the other Competitions shall not be Disqualified, unless the Rider's results in Competitions other than the Competition in which the anti-doping rule violation occurred were likely to have been affected by the Rider's anti-doping rule violation.

10.2 Ineligibility for Presence, Use or Attempted Use, or Possession of a Prohibited Substance or Prohibited Method

The period of Ineligibility for a violation of Articles 2.1, 2.2 or 2.6 shall be as follows, subject to potential reduction or suspension pursuant to Articles 10.4, 10.5 or 10.6:

10.2.1

The period of Ineligibility shall be four years where:

10.2.1.1

The anti-doping rule violation does not involve a Specified Substance, unless the Rider or other Person can establish that the anti-doping rule violation was not intentional.

10.2.1.2

The anti-doping rule violation involves a Specified Substance and FIM can establish that the anti-doping rule violation was intentional.

10.2.2

If Article 10.2.1 does not apply, the period of Ineligibility shall be two years.

10.2.3

As used in Articles 10.2 and 10.3, the term “intentional” is meant to identify those Riders who cheat. The term therefore requires that the Rider or other Person engaged in conduct which he or she knew constituted an anti-doping rule violation or knew that there was a significant risk that the conduct might constitute or result in an anti-doping rule violation and manifestly disregarded that risk. An anti-doping rule violation resulting from an Adverse Analytical Finding for a substance which is only prohibited In-Competition shall be rebuttably presumed to be not intentional if the substance is a Specified Substance and the Rider can establish that the Prohibited Substance was Used Out-of-Competition. An anti-doping rule violation resulting from an Adverse Analytical Finding for a substance which is only prohibited In-Competition shall not be considered intentional if the substance is not a Specified Substance and the Rider can establish that the Prohibited Substance was Used Out-of-Competition in a context unrelated to sport performance.

10.3 Ineligibility for Other Anti-Doping Rule Violations

The period of Ineligibility for anti-doping rule violations other than as provided in Article 10.2 shall be as follows, unless Articles 10.5 or 10.6 are applicable:

10.3.1

For violations of Article 2.3 or Article 2.5, the period of Ineligibility shall be four years unless, in the case of failing to submit to Sample collection, the Rider can establish that the commission of the anti-doping rule violation was not intentional (as defined in Article 10.2.3), in which case the period of Ineligibility shall be two years.

10.3.2

For violations of Article 2.4, the period of Ineligibility shall be two years, subject to reduction down to a minimum of one year, depending on the Rider's degree of Fault. The flexibility between two years and one year of Ineligibility in this Article is not available to Riders where a pattern of last-minute whereabouts changes or other conduct raises a serious suspicion that the Rider was trying to avoid being available for Testing.

10.3.3

For violations of Article 2.7 or 2.8, the period of Ineligibility shall be a minimum of four years up to lifetime Ineligibility, depending on the seriousness of the violation. An Article 2.7 or Article 2.8 violation involving a Minor shall be considered a particularly serious violation and, if committed by Rider Support Personnel for violations other than for Specified Substances, shall result in lifetime Ineligibility for Rider Support Personnel.

In addition, significant violations of Article 2.7 or 2.8 which may also violate non-sporting laws and regulations, shall be reported to the competent administrative, professional or judicial authorities.

[Comment to Article 10.3.3: Those who are involved in doping Riders or covering up doping should be subject to sanctions which are more severe than the Riders who test positive. Since the authority of sport organizations is generally limited to Ineligibility for accreditation, membership and other sport benefits, reporting Rider Support Personnel to competent authorities is an important step in the deterrence of doping.]

10.3.4

For violations of Article 2.9, the period of Ineligibility imposed shall be a minimum of two years, up to four years, depending on the seriousness of the violation.

10.3.5

For violations of Article 2.10, the period of Ineligibility shall be two years, subject to reduction down to a minimum of one year, depending on the Rider or other Person's degree of Fault and other circumstances of the case.

[Comment to Article 10.3.5: Where the "other Person" referenced in Article 2.10 is an entity and not an individual, that entity may be disciplined as provided in Article 12.]

10.4 Elimination of the Period of Ineligibility where there is No Fault or Negligence

If a Rider or other Person establishes in an individual case that he or she bears No Fault or Negligence, then the otherwise applicable period of Ineligibility shall be eliminated.

[Comment to Article 10.4: This Article and Article 10.5.2 apply only to the imposition of sanctions; they are not applicable to the determination of whether an anti-doping rule violation has occurred. They will only apply in exceptional circumstances, for example where a Rider could prove that, despite all due care, he or she was sabotaged by a competitor. Conversely, No Fault or Negligence would not apply in the following circumstances: (a) a positive test resulting from a mislabeled or contaminated vitamin or nutritional supplement (Riders are responsible for what they ingest (Article 2.1.1) and have been warned against the possibility of supplement contamination); (b) the Administration of a Prohibited Substance by the Rider's personal physician or trainer without disclosure to the Rider (Riders are responsible for their choice of medical personnel and for advising medical personnel that they cannot be given any Prohibited Substance); and (c) sabotage of the Rider's food or drink by a spouse, coach or other Person within the Rider's circle of associates (Riders are responsible for what they ingest and for the conduct of those Persons to whom they entrust access to their food and drink). However, depending on the unique facts of a particular case, any of the referenced illustrations could result in a reduced sanction under Article 10.5 based on No Significant Fault or Negligence.]

10.5 Reduction of the Period of Ineligibility based on No Significant Fault or Negligence

10.5.1

Reduction of Sanctions for Specified Substances or Contaminated Products for Violations of Article 2.1, 2.2 or 2.6.

10.5.1.1 Specified Substances

Where the anti-doping rule violation involves a Specified Substance, and the Rider or other Person can establish No Significant Fault or Negligence, then the period of Ineligibility shall be, at a minimum, a reprimand and no period of Ineligibility, and at a maximum, two years of Ineligibility, depending on the Rider's or other Person's degree of Fault.

10.5.1.2 Contaminated Products

In cases where the Rider or other Person can establish No Significant Fault or Negligence and that the detected Prohibited Substance came from a Contaminated Product, then the period of Ineligibility shall be, at a minimum, a reprimand and no period of Ineligibility, and at a maximum, two years Ineligibility, depending on the Rider's or other Person's degree of Fault.

[Comment to Article 10.5.1.2: In assessing that Rider's degree of Fault, it would, for example, be favorable for the Rider if the Rider had declared the product which was subsequently determined to be contaminated on his or her Doping Control form.]

10.5.2 Application of No Significant Fault or Negligence beyond the Application of Article 10.5.1

If a Rider or other Person establishes in an individual case where Article 10.5.1 is not applicable that he or she bears No Significant Fault or Negligence, then, subject to further reduction or elimination as provided in Article 10.6, the otherwise applicable period of Ineligibility may be reduced based on the Rider or other Person's degree of Fault, but the reduced period of Ineligibility may not be less than one-half of the period of Ineligibility otherwise applicable. If the otherwise applicable period of Ineligibility is a lifetime, the reduced period under this Article may be no less than eight years.

[Comment to Article 10.5.2: Article 10.5.2 may be applied to any anti-doping rule violation except those Articles where intent is an element of the anti-doping rule violation (e.g., Article 2.5, 2.7, 2.8 or 2.9) or an element of a particular sanction (e.g., Article 10.2.1) or a range of Ineligibility is already provided in an Article based on the Rider or other Person's degree of Fault.]

10.6 Elimination, Reduction, or Suspension of Period of Ineligibility or other Consequences for Reasons Other than Fault

10.6.1 Substantial Assistance in Discovering or Establishing Anti-Doping Rule Violations

10.6.1.1

FIM may, prior to a final appellate decision under Article 13 or the expiration of the time to appeal, suspend a part of the period of Ineligibility imposed in an individual case in which it has results management authority where the Rider or other Person has provided Substantial Assistance to an Anti-Doping Organization, criminal authority or professional disciplinary body which results in: (i) the Anti-Doping Organization discovering or bringing forward an anti-doping rule violation by another Person, or (ii) which results in a criminal or disciplinary body discovering or bringing forward a criminal offense or the breach of professional rules committed by another Person and the information provided by the Person providing Substantial Assistance is made available to FIM. After a final appellate decision under Article 13 or the expiration of time to appeal, FIM may only suspend a part of the otherwise applicable period of Ineligibility with the approval of WADA. The extent to which the otherwise applicable period of Ineligibility may be suspended shall be based on the seriousness of the anti-doping rule violation committed by the Rider or other Person and the significance of the Substantial Assistance provided by the Rider or other Person to the effort to eliminate doping in sport. No more than three-quarters of the otherwise applicable period of Ineligibility may be suspended. If the otherwise applicable period of Ineligibility is a lifetime, the non-suspended period under this Article must be no less than eight years. If the Rider or other Person fails to continue to cooperate and to provide the complete and credible Substantial Assistance upon which a suspension of the period of Ineligibility was based, FIM shall reinstate the original period of Ineligibility.

If FIM decides to reinstate a suspended period of Ineligibility or decides not to reinstate a suspended period of Ineligibility, that decision may be appealed by any Person entitled to appeal under Article 13.

10.6.1.2

To further encourage Riders and other Persons to provide Substantial Assistance to Anti-Doping Organizations, at the request of FIM or at the request of the Rider or other Person who has (or has been asserted to have) committed an anti-doping rule violation, WADA may agree at any stage of the results management process, including after a final appellate decision under Article 13, to what it considers to be an appropriate suspension of the otherwise-applicable period of Ineligibility and other Consequences. In exceptional circumstances, WADA may agree to suspensions of the period of Ineligibility and other Consequences for Substantial Assistance greater than those otherwise provided in this Article, or even no period of Ineligibility, and/or no return of prize money or payment of fines or costs. WADA's approval shall be subject to reinstatement of sanction, as otherwise provided in this Article. Notwithstanding Article 13, WADA's decisions in the context of this Article may not be appealed by any other Anti-Doping Organization.

10.6.1.3

If FIM suspends any part of an otherwise applicable sanction because of Substantial Assistance, then notice providing justification for the decision shall be provided to the other Anti-Doping Organizations with a right to appeal under Article 13.2.3 as provided in Article 14.2. In unique circumstances where WADA determines that it would be in the best interest of anti-doping, WADA may authorize FIM to enter into appropriate confidentiality agreements limiting or delaying the disclosure of the Substantial Assistance agreement or the nature of Substantial Assistance being provided.

[Comment to Article 10.6.1: The cooperation of Riders, Rider Support Personnel and other Persons who acknowledge their mistakes and are willing to bring other anti-doping rule violations to light is important to clean sport. This is the only circumstance under the Code where the suspension of an otherwise applicable period of Ineligibility is authorized.]

10.6.2 Admission of an Anti-Doping Rule Violation in the Absence of Other Evidence

Where a Rider or other Person voluntarily admits the commission of an anti-doping rule violation before having received notice of a Sample collection which could establish an anti-doping rule violation (or, in the case of an anti-doping rule violation other than Article 2.1, before receiving first notice of the admitted violation pursuant to Article 7) and that admission is the only reliable evidence of the violation at the time of admission, then the period of Ineligibility may be reduced, but not below one-half of the period of Ineligibility otherwise applicable.

[Comment to Article 10.6.2: This Article is intended to apply when a Rider or other Person comes forward and admits to an anti-doping rule violation in circumstances where no Anti-Doping Organization is aware that an anti-doping rule violation might have been committed. It is not intended to apply to circumstances where the admission occurs after the Rider or other Person believes he or she is about to be caught. The amount by which Ineligibility is reduced should be based on the likelihood that the Rider or other Person would have been caught had he/she not come forward voluntarily.]

10.6.3

Prompt Admission of an Anti-Doping Rule Violation after being Confronted with a Violation Sanctionable under Article 10.2.1 or Article 10.3.1

A Rider or other Person potentially subject to a four-year sanction under Article 10.2.1 or 10.3.1 (for evading or refusing Sample Collection or Tampering with Sample Collection), by promptly admitting the asserted anti-doping rule violation after being confronted by FIM, and also upon the approval and at the discretion of both WADA and FIM, may receive a reduction in the period of Ineligibility down to a minimum of two years, depending on the seriousness of the violation and the Rider or other Person's degree of Fault.

10.6.4 Application of Multiple Grounds for Reduction of a Sanction

Where a Rider or other Person establishes entitlement to reduction in sanction under more than one provision of Article 10.4, 10.5 or 10.6, before applying any reduction or suspension under Article 10.6, the otherwise applicable period of Ineligibility shall be determined in accordance with Articles 10.2, 10.3, 10.4, and 10.5. If the Rider or other Person establishes entitlement to a reduction or suspension of the period of Ineligibility under Article 10.6, then the period of Ineligibility may be reduced or suspended, but not below one-fourth of the otherwise applicable period of Ineligibility.

[Comment to Article 10.6.4: The appropriate sanction is determined in a sequence of four steps. First, the hearing panel determines which of the basic sanctions (Articles 10.2, 10.3, 10.4, or 10.5) apply to the particular anti-doping rule violation. Second, if the basic sanction provides for a range of sanctions, the hearing panel must determine the applicable sanction within that range according to the Rider or other Person's degree of Fault. In a third step, the hearing panel establishes whether there is a basis for elimination, suspension, or reduction of the sanction (Article 10.6). Finally, the hearing panel decides on the commencement of the period of Ineligibility under Article 10.11. Several examples of how Article 10 is to be applied are found in Appendix 2.]

10.7 Multiple Violations

10.7.1

For a Rider or other Person's second anti-doping rule violation, the period of Ineligibility shall be the greater of:

- a) six months;
- b) one-half of the period of Ineligibility imposed for the first anti-doping rule violation without taking into account any reduction under Article 10.6; or
- c) twice the period of Ineligibility otherwise applicable to the second anti-doping rule violation treated as if it were a first violation, without taking into account any reduction under Article 10.6.

The period of Ineligibility established above may then be further reduced by the application of Article 10.6.

10.7.2

A third anti-doping rule violation will always result in a lifetime period of Ineligibility, except if the third violation fulfills the condition for elimination or reduction of the period of Ineligibility under Article 10.4 or 10.5, or involves a violation of Article 2.4. In these particular cases, the period of Ineligibility shall be from eight years to lifetime Ineligibility.

10.7.3

An anti-doping rule violation for which a Rider or other Person has established No Fault or Negligence shall not be considered a prior violation for purposes of this Article.

10.7.4 Additional Rules for Certain Potential Multiple Violations

10.7.4.1

For purposes of imposing sanctions under Article 10.7, an anti-doping rule violation will only be considered a second violation if FIM can establish that the Rider or other Person committed the second anti-doping rule violation after the Rider or other Person received notice pursuant to Article 7, or after FIM made reasonable efforts to give notice of the first anti-doping rule violation. If FIM cannot establish this, the violations shall be considered together as one single first violation, and the sanction imposed shall be based on the violation that carries the more severe sanction.

10.7.4.2

If, after the imposition of a sanction for a first anti-doping rule violation, FIM discovers facts involving an anti-doping rule violation by the Rider or other Person which occurred prior to notification regarding the first violation, then FIM shall impose an additional sanction based on the sanction that could have been imposed if the two violations had been adjudicated at the same time.

Results in all Competitions dating back to the earlier anti-doping rule violation will be Disqualified as provided in Article 10.8.

10.7.5 Multiple Anti-Doping Rule Violations during Ten-Year Period

For purposes of Article 10.7, each anti-doping rule violation must take place within the same ten-year period in order to be considered multiple violations.

10.8 Disqualification of Results in Competitions Subsequent to Sample Collection or Commission of an Anti-Doping Rule Violation

In addition to the automatic Disqualification of the results in the Competition which produced the positive Sample under Article 9, all other competitive results of the Rider obtained from the date a positive Sample was collected (whether In-Competition or Out-of-Competition), or other anti-doping rule violation occurred, through the commencement of any Provisional Suspension or Ineligibility period, shall, unless fairness requires otherwise, be Disqualified with all of the resulting Consequences including forfeiture of any medals, points and prizes.

[Comment to Article 10.8: Nothing in these Anti-Doping Rules precludes clean Riders or other Persons who have been damaged by the actions of a Person who has committed an anti-doping rule violation from pursuing any right which they would otherwise have to seek damages from such Person.]

10.9 Allocation of CAS Cost Awards and Forfeited Prize Money

The priority for repayment of CAS cost awards and forfeited prize money shall be: first, payment of costs awarded by CAS; and second, reimbursement of the expenses of FIM.

10.10 Financial Consequences

Where a Rider or other Person commits an anti-doping rule violation, FIM may, in its discretion and subject to the principle of proportionality, elect to a) recover from the Rider or other Person costs associated with the anti-doping rule violation, regardless of the period of Ineligibility imposed and/or b) fine the Rider or other Person in an amount up to \$ 10'000 U.S. Dollars, only in cases where the maximum period of Ineligibility otherwise applicable has already been imposed.

The imposition of a financial sanction or the FIM's recovery of costs shall not be considered a basis for reducing the Ineligibility or other sanction which would otherwise be applicable under these Anti-Doping Rules or the Code.

10.11 Commencement of Ineligibility Period

Except as provided below, the period of Ineligibility shall start on the date of the final hearing decision providing for Ineligibility or, if the hearing is waived or there is no hearing, on the date Ineligibility is accepted or otherwise imposed.

10.11.1 Delays Not Attributable to the Rider or other Person

Where there have been substantial delays in the hearing process or other aspects of Doping Control not attributable to the Rider or other Person, FIM may start the period of Ineligibility at an earlier date commencing as early as the date of Sample collection or the date on which another anti-doping rule violation last occurred. All competitive results achieved during the period of Ineligibility, including retroactive Ineligibility, shall be Disqualified.

[Comment to Article 10.11.1: In cases of anti-doping rule violations other than under Article 2.1, the time required for an Anti-Doping Organization to discover and develop facts sufficient to establish an anti-doping rule violation may be lengthy, particularly where the Rider or other Person has taken affirmative action to avoid detection. In these circumstances, the flexibility provided in this Article to start the sanction at an earlier date should not be used.]

10.11.2 Timely Admission

Where the Rider or other Person promptly (which, in all events, for a Rider means before the Rider competes again) admits the anti-doping rule violation after being confronted with the anti-doping rule violation by FIM, the period of Ineligibility may start as early as the date of Sample collection or the date on which another anti-doping rule violation last occurred. In each case, however, where this Article is applied, the Rider or other Person shall serve at least one-half of the period of Ineligibility going forward from the date the Rider or other Person accepted the imposition of a sanction, the date of a hearing decision imposing a sanction, or the date the sanction is otherwise imposed. This Article shall not apply where the period of Ineligibility has already been reduced under Article 10.6.3.

10.11.3 Credit for Provisional Suspension or Period of Ineligibility Served

10.11.3.1

If a Provisional Suspension is imposed and respected by the Rider or other Person, then the Rider or other Person shall receive a credit for such period of Provisional Suspension against any period of Ineligibility which may ultimately be imposed. If a period of Ineligibility is served pursuant to a decision that is subsequently appealed, then the Rider or other Person shall receive a credit for such period of Ineligibility served against any period of Ineligibility which may ultimately be imposed on appeal.

10.11.3.2

If a Rider or other Person voluntarily accepts a Provisional Suspension in writing from FIM and thereafter respects the Provisional Suspension, the Rider or other Person shall receive a credit for such period of voluntary Provisional Suspension against any period of Ineligibility which may ultimately be imposed. A copy of the Rider or other Person's voluntary acceptance of a Provisional Suspension shall be provided promptly to each party entitled to receive notice of an asserted anti-doping rule violation under Article 14.1.

[Comment to Article 10.11.3.2: A Rider's voluntary acceptance of a Provisional Suspension is not an admission by the Rider and shall not be used in any way as to draw an adverse inference against the Rider.]

10.11.3.3

No credit against a period of Ineligibility shall be given for any time period before the effective date of the Provisional Suspension or voluntary Provisional Suspension regardless of whether the Rider elected not to compete or was suspended by his or her team.

10.11.3.4

In Team Sports, where a period of Ineligibility is imposed upon a team, unless fairness requires otherwise, the period of Ineligibility shall start on the date of the final hearing decision providing for Ineligibility or, if the hearing is waived, on the date Ineligibility is accepted or otherwise imposed. Any period of team Provisional Suspension (whether imposed or voluntarily accepted) shall be credited against the total period of Ineligibility to be served.

[Comment to Article 10.11: Article 10.11 makes clear that delays not attributable to the Rider, timely admission by the Rider and Provisional Suspension are the only justifications for starting the period of Ineligibility earlier than the date of the final hearing decision.]

10.12 Status During Ineligibility

10.12.1 Prohibition Against Participation During Ineligibility

No Rider or other Person who has been declared Ineligible may, during the period of Ineligibility, participate in any capacity in a Competition or activity (other than authorized anti-doping education or rehabilitation programs) authorized or organized by any Signatory, Signatory's member organization, or a club or other member organization of a Signatory's member organization, or in Competitions authorized or organized by any professional league or any international or national level Event organization or any elite or national-level sporting activity funded by a governmental agency.

A Rider or other Person subject to a period of Ineligibility longer than four years may, after completing four years of the period of Ineligibility, participate as a Rider in local sport events not sanctioned or otherwise under the jurisdiction of a Code Signatory or member of a Code Signatory, but only so long as the local sport event is not at a level that could otherwise qualify such Rider or other Person directly or indirectly to compete in (or accumulate points toward) a national championship or International Event, and does not involve the Rider or other Person working in any capacity with Minors.

A Rider or other Person subject to a period of Ineligibility shall remain subject to Testing.

[Comment to Article 10.12.1: For example, subject to Article 10.12.2 below, an Ineligible Rider cannot participate in a training camp, exhibition or practice organized by his or her FMN or a club which is a member of that FMN or which is funded by a governmental agency. Further, an Ineligible Rider may not compete in a non-Signatory professional league (e.g., the National Hockey League, the National Basketball Association, etc.), Events organized by a non-Signatory International Event organization or a non-Signatory national-level event organization without triggering the Consequences set forth in Article 10.12.3. The term “activity” also includes, for example, administrative activities, such as serving as an official, director, officer, employee, or volunteer of the organization described in this Article. Ineligibility imposed in one sport shall also be recognized by other sports (see Article 15.1, Mutual Recognition).]

10.12.2 Return to Training

As an exception to Article 10.12.1, a Rider may return to train with a team or to use the facilities of a club or other member organization of FIM’s member organization during the shorter of: (1) the last two months of the Rider’s period of Ineligibility, or (2) the last one-quarter of the period of Ineligibility imposed.

[Comment to Article 10.12.2: In many Team Sports and some individual sports (e.g., ski jumping and gymnastics), a Rider cannot effectively train on his/her own so as to be ready to compete at the end of the Rider's period of Ineligibility. During the training period described in this Article, an Ineligible Rider may not compete or engage in any activity described in Article 10.12.1 other than training.]

10.12.3 Violation of the Prohibition of Participation During Ineligibility

Where a Rider or other Person who has been declared Ineligible violates the prohibition against participation during Ineligibility described in Article 10.12.1, the results of such participation shall be Disqualified and a new period of Ineligibility equal in length up to the original period of Ineligibility shall be added to the end of the original period of Ineligibility. The new period of Ineligibility may be adjusted based on the Rider or other Person's degree of Fault and other circumstances of the case. The determination of whether a Rider or other Person has violated the prohibition against participation, and whether an adjustment is appropriate, shall be made by the Anti-Doping Organization whose results management led to the imposition of the initial period of Ineligibility. This decision may be appealed under Article 13.

Where a Rider Support Person or other Person assists a Person in violating the prohibition against participation during Ineligibility, FIM shall impose sanctions for a violation of Article 2.9 for such assistance.

10.12.4 Withholding of Financial Support during Ineligibility

In addition, for any anti-doping rule violation not involving a reduced sanction as described in Article 10.4 or 10.5, some or all sport-related financial support or other sport-related benefits received by such Person will be withheld by FIM and its FMNs.

10.13 Automatic Publication of Sanction

A mandatory part of each sanction shall include automatic publication, as provided in Article 14.3.

[Comment to Article 10: Harmonization of sanctions has been one of the most discussed and debated areas of anti-doping. Harmonization means that the same rules and criteria are applied to assess the unique facts of each case. Arguments against requiring harmonization of sanctions are based on differences between sports including, for example, the following: in some sports the Riders are professionals making a sizable income from the sport and in others the Riders are true amateurs; in those sports where a Rider's career is short, a standard period of Ineligibility has a much more significant effect on the Rider than in sports where careers are traditionally much longer. A primary argument in favor of harmonization is that it is simply not right that two Riders from the same country who test positive for the same Prohibited Substance under similar circumstances should receive different sanctions only because they participate in different sports. In addition, flexibility in sanctioning has often been viewed as an unacceptable opportunity for some sporting organizations to be more lenient with dopers. The lack of harmonization of sanctions has also frequently been the source of jurisdictional conflicts between International Federations and National Anti-Doping Organizations.]

ARTICLE 11 CONSEQUENCES TO TEAMS

11.1

If a member of a team is found to have committed a violation of these Anti-Doping Rules during a Competition for teams competing with the same motorcycle, the team shall be Disqualified from the Competition.

11.2

Article 10.8 applies to the subsequent results of Teams in which the Rider who committed a violation of the FIM Anti-Doping Rules competed as a member of the team where the conditions of Article 11.1 are met.

11.3

If a member of a team is found to have committed a violation of these Anti-Doping Rules during an Event (Competition) where a team ranking is based on the addition of individual results, the results of the rider committing the violation will be subtracted from the team result and replaced with the results of the next applicable team member. If by removing the rider's results from the team results, the number of riders counting for the team is less than the required number, the team shall be eliminated from the ranking.

11.4

Where more than one member of a team has been notified of an anti-doping rule violation under Article 7 in connection with an Event (Competition), the ruling body for the Event (Competition) shall conduct appropriate Target Testing of the team during the Event Period.

11.5 **Event Ruling Body may Establish Stricter Consequences for Team Sports**

The ruling body for an Event may elect to establish rules for the Event which impose Consequences for Team Sports stricter than those in Article 11.2 for purposes of the Event.

[Comment to Article 11.3: For example, the International Olympic Committee could establish rules which would require Disqualification of a team from the Olympic Games based on a lesser number of anti-doping rule violations during the period of the Games.

ARTICLE 12 SANCTIONS AND COSTS ASSESSED AGAINST SPORTING BODIES

12.1

FIM has the authority to withhold some or all funding or other non-financial support to FMNs that are not in compliance with these Anti-Doping Rules.

12.2

FMNs shall be obligated to reimburse FIM for all costs (including but not limited to laboratory fees, hearing expenses and travel) related to a violation of these Anti-Doping Rules committed by a Rider or other Person affiliated with that FMN.

12.3

FIM may elect to take additional disciplinary action against FMNs with respect to recognition, the eligibility of its officials and Riders to participate in International Events and fines based on the following:

12.3.1

Four or more violations of these Anti-Doping Rules (other than violations involving Article 2.4) are committed by Riders or other Persons affiliated with a FMN within a 12-month period in testing conducted by FIM or Anti-Doping Organizations other than the FMN or its National Anti-Doping Organization. In such event FIM may in its discretion elect to: (a) ban all officials from that FMN for participation in any FIM activities for a period of up to two years and/or (b) fine the FMN in an amount up to \$ 15'000 U.S. Dollars. (For purposes of this Rule, any fine paid pursuant to Rule 12.3.2 shall be credited against any fine assessed.)

12.3.1.1

If four or more violations of these Anti-Doping Rules (other than violations involving Articles 2.4) are committed in addition to the violations described in Article 12.3.1 by Riders or other Persons affiliated with a FMN within a 12-month period in Testing conducted by FIM or Anti-Doping Organizations other than the FMN or its National Anti-Doping Organization, then FIM may suspend that FMN's membership for a period of up to 4 years.

12.3.2

More than one Rider or other Person from a FMN commits an Anti-Doping Rule violation during an International Event. In such event FIM may fine that FMN in an amount up to \$ 15'000 U.S. Dollars.

12.3.3

A FMN has failed to make diligent efforts to keep the IF informed about a Rider's whereabouts after receiving a request for that information from FIM. In such event FIM may fine the FMN in an amount up to \$ 5'000 U.S. Dollars per Rider in addition to all of the FIM costs incurred in Testing that FMN's Riders.

ARTICLE 13 APPEALS

13.1 Decisions Subject to Appeal

Decisions made under these Anti-Doping Rules may be appealed as set forth below in Article 13.2 through 13.7 or as otherwise provided in these Anti-Doping Rules, the Code or the International Standards. Such decisions shall remain in effect while under appeal unless the appellate body orders otherwise. Before an appeal is commenced, any post-decision review provided in the Anti-Doping Organization's rules must be exhausted, provided that such review respects the principles set forth in Article 13.2.2 below (except as provided in Article 13.1.3).

13.1.1 Scope of Review Not Limited

The scope of review on appeal includes all issues relevant to the matter and is expressly not limited to the issues or scope of review before the initial decision maker.

13.1.2

CAS Shall Not Defer to the Findings Being Appealed.

In making its decision, CAS need not give deference to the discretion exercised by the body whose decision is being appealed.

[Comment to Article 13.1.2: CAS proceedings are de novo. Prior proceedings do not limit the evidence or carry weight in the hearing before CAS.]

13.1.3

WADA Not Required to Exhaust Internal Remedies

Where WADA has a right to appeal under Article 13 and no other party has appealed a final decision within FIM's process, WADA may appeal such decision directly to CAS without having to exhaust other remedies in FIM's process.

13.2 **Appeals from Decisions Regarding Anti-Doping Rule Violations, Consequences, Provisional Suspensions, Recognition of Decisions and Jurisdiction**

A decision that an anti-doping rule violation was committed, a decision imposing Consequences or not imposing Consequences for an anti-doping rule violation, or a decision that no anti-doping rule violation was committed; a decision that an anti-doping rule violation proceeding cannot go forward for procedural reasons (including, for example, prescription); a decision by WADA not to grant an exception to the six month notice requirement for a retired Rider to return to Competition under Article 5.7.1; a decision by WADA assigning results management under Article 7.1 of the Code; a decision by FIM not to bring forward an Adverse Analytical Finding or an Atypical Finding as an anti-doping rule violation, or a decision not to go forward with an anti-doping rule violation after an investigation under Article 7.7; a decision to impose a Provisional Suspension as a result of a Provisional Hearing; FIM's failure to comply with Article 7.9; a decision that FIM lacks jurisdiction to rule on an alleged anti-doping rule violation or its Consequences; a decision to suspend, or not suspend, a period of Ineligibility or to reinstate, or not reinstate, a suspended period of Ineligibility under Article 10.6.1; a decision under Article 10.12.3; and a decision by FIM not to recognize another Anti-Doping Organization's decision under Article 15, may be appealed exclusively as provided in Articles 13.2 - 13.7.

13.2.1 Appeals Involving International-Level Riders or International Events

In cases arising from participation in an International Event or in cases involving International-Level Riders, the decision may be appealed exclusively to CAS.

[Comment to Article 13.2.1: CAS decisions are final and binding except for any review required by law applicable to the annulment or enforcement of arbitral awards.]

13.2.2 Appeals Involving Other Riders or Other Persons

In cases where Article 13.2.1 is not applicable, the decision may be appealed to a national-level appeal body, being an independent and impartial body established in accordance with rules adopted by the National Anti-Doping Organization having jurisdiction over the Rider or other Person. The rules for such appeal shall respect the following principles: a timely hearing; a fair and impartial hearing panel; the right to be represented by counsel at the Person's own expense; and a timely, written, reasoned decision. If the National Anti-Doping Organization has not established such a body, the decision may be appealed to CAS in accordance with the provisions applicable before such court.

13.2.3 Persons Entitled to Appeal

In cases under Article 13.2.1, the following parties shall have the right to appeal to CAS: (a) the Rider or other Person who is the subject of the decision being appealed; (b) the other party to the case in which the decision was rendered; (c) FIM; (d) the National Anti-Doping Organization of the Person's country of residence or countries where the Person is a national or license holder; (e) the International Olympic Committee or International Paralympic Committee, as applicable, where the decision may have an effect in relation to the Olympic Games or Paralympic Games, including decisions affecting eligibility for the Olympic Games or Paralympic Games; and (f) WADA.

In cases under Article 13.2.2, the parties having the right to appeal to the national-level appeal body shall be as provided in the National Anti-Doping Organization's rules but, at a minimum, shall include the following parties: (a) the Rider or other Person who is the subject of the decision being appealed; (b) the other party to the case in which the decision was rendered; (c) FIM; (d) the National Anti-Doping Organization of the Person's country of residence; (e) the International Olympic Committee or International Paralympic Committee, as applicable, where the decision may have an effect in relation to the Olympic Games or Paralympic Games, including decisions affecting eligibility for the Olympic Games or Paralympic Games; and (f) WADA. For cases under Article 13.2.2, WADA, the International Olympic Committee, the International Paralympic Committee, and FIM shall also have the right to appeal to CAS with respect to the decision of the national-level appeal body. Any party filing an appeal shall be entitled to assistance from CAS to obtain all relevant information from the Anti-Doping Organization whose decision is being appealed and the information shall be provided if CAS so directs.

Notwithstanding any other provision herein, the only Person who may appeal from a Provisional Suspension is the Rider or other Person upon whom the Provisional Suspension is imposed.

13.2.4 Cross Appeals and other Subsequent Appeals Allowed

Cross appeals and other subsequent appeals by any respondent named in cases brought to CAS under the Code are specifically permitted. Any party with a right to appeal under this Article 13 must file a cross appeal or subsequent appeal at the latest with the party's answer.

[Comment to Article 13.2.4: This provision is necessary because since 2011, CAS rules no longer permit a Rider the right to cross appeal when an Anti-Doping Organization appeals a decision after the Rider's time for appeal has expired. This provision permits a full hearing for all parties.]

13.3 Failure to Render a Timely Decision

Where, in a particular case, FIM fails to render a decision with respect to whether an anti-doping rule violation was committed within a reasonable deadline set by WADA, WADA may elect to appeal directly to CAS as if FIM had rendered a decision finding no anti-doping rule violation. If the CAS hearing panel determines that an anti-doping rule violation was committed and that WADA acted reasonably in electing to appeal directly to CAS, then WADA's costs and attorney fees in prosecuting the appeal shall be reimbursed to WADA by FIM.

[Comment to Article 13.3: Given the different circumstances of each anti-doping rule violation investigation and results management process, it is not feasible to establish a fixed time period for FIM to render a decision before WADA may intervene by appealing directly to CAS. Before taking such action, however, WADA will consult with FIM and give FIM an opportunity to explain why it has not yet rendered a decision.]

13.3.1 Failure of FMN to Render a Timely Decision

Where, in a particular case, a FIM affiliated FMN fails to render a decision with respect to whether an anti-doping rule violation (for which the FMN is the competent Results Management Authority) was committed within two (2) months, FIM may decide to assume jurisdiction for the matters and conduct Results Management Authority in accordance with these Anti-Doping Rules.

Should this occur, the FMN is liable for the costs incurred by FIM for the management of the case.

13.4 Appeals Relating to TUEs

TUE decisions may be appealed exclusively as provided in Article 4.4.

13.5 Notification of Appeal Decisions

Any Anti-Doping Organization that is a party to an appeal shall promptly provide the appeal decision to the Rider or other Person and to the other Anti-Doping Organizations that would have been entitled to appeal under Article 13.2.3 as provided under Article 14.2.

13.6 Appeal from Decisions Pursuant to Article 12

Decisions by FIM pursuant to Article 12 may be appealed exclusively to CAS by the FMN.

13.7 Time for Filing Appeals

13.7.1 Appeals to CAS

The time to file an appeal to CAS shall be twenty-one days from the date of receipt of the decision by the appealing party. The above notwithstanding, the following shall apply in connection with appeals filed by a party entitled to appeal but which was not a party to the proceedings that led to the decision being appealed:

- a) Within fifteen days from notice of the decision, such party/ies shall have the right to request a copy of the case file from the body that issued the decision;
- b) If such a request is made within the fifteen-day period, then the party making such request shall have twenty-one days from receipt of the file to file an appeal to CAS.

The above notwithstanding, the filing deadline for an appeal filed by WADA shall be the later of:

- a) Twenty-one days after the last day on which any other party in the case could have appealed; or
- b) Twenty-one days after WADA's receipt of the complete file relating to the decision.

13.7.2 Appeals Under Article 13.2.2

The time to file an appeal to an independent and impartial body established at national level in accordance with rules established by the National Anti-Doping Organization shall be indicated by the same rules of the National Anti-Doping Organization.

The above notwithstanding, the filing deadline for an appeal or intervention filed by WADA shall be the later of:

- a) Twenty-one days after the last day on which any other party in the case could have appealed, or
- b) Twenty-one days after WADA's receipt of the complete file relating to the decision.

ARTICLE 14 CONFIDENTIALITY AND REPORTING

14.1 Information Concerning Adverse Analytical Findings, Atypical Findings, and Other Asserted Anti-Doping Rule Violations

14.1.1 Notice of Anti-Doping Rule Violations to Riders and other Persons

Notice to Riders or other Persons of anti-doping rule violations asserted against them shall occur as provided under Articles 7 and 14 of these Anti-Doping Rules. Notice to a Rider or other Person who is a member of a FMN may be accomplished by delivery of the notice to the FMN.

14.1.2 Notice of Anti-Doping Rule Violations to National Anti-Doping Organizations and WADA

Notice of the assertion of an anti-doping rule violation to National Anti-Doping Organizations and WADA shall occur as provided under Articles 7 and 14 of these Anti-Doping Rules, simultaneously with the notice to the Rider or other Person.

14.1.3 Content of an Anti-Doping Rule Violation Notice

Notification of an anti-doping rule violation under Article 2.1 shall include: the Rider's name, country, sport and discipline within the sport, the Rider's competitive level, whether the test was In-Competition or Out-of-Competition, the date of Sample collection, the analytical result reported by the laboratory, and other information as required by the International Standard for Testing and Investigations.

Notice of anti-doping rule violations other than under Article 2.1 shall include the rule violated and the basis of the asserted violation.

14.1.4 Status Reports

Except with respect to investigations which have not resulted in notice of an anti-doping rule violation pursuant to Article 14.1.1, National Anti-Doping Organizations and WADA shall be regularly updated on the status and findings of any review or proceedings conducted pursuant to Article 7, 8 or 13 and shall be provided with a prompt written reasoned explanation or decision explaining the resolution of the matter.

14.1.5 Confidentiality

The recipient organizations shall not disclose this information beyond those Persons with a need to know (which would include the appropriate personnel at the applicable National Olympic Committee, FMN, and team in a Team Sport) until FIM has made Public Disclosure or has failed to make Public Disclosure as required in Article 14.3.

14.1.6

FIM may ensure that information concerning Adverse Analytical Findings, Atypical Findings, and other asserted anti-doping rule violations remains confidential until such information is Publicly Disclosed in accordance with Article 14.3, and may include provisions in any contract entered into between FIM and any of its employees (whether permanent or otherwise), contractors, agents and consultants, for the protection of such confidential information as well as for the investigation and disciplining of improper and/or unauthorised disclosure of such confidential information.

14.2 Notice of Anti-Doping Rule Violation Decisions and Request for Files

14.2.1

Anti-doping rule violation decisions rendered pursuant to Article 7.11, 8.2, 10.4, 10.5, 10.6, 10.12.3 or 13.5 shall include the full reasons for the decision, including, if applicable, a justification for why the greatest possible Consequences were not imposed. Where the decision is not in English or French, FIM shall provide a short English or French summary of the decision and the supporting reasons.

14.2.2

An Anti-Doping Organization having a right to appeal a decision received pursuant to Article 14.2.1 may, within fifteen days of receipt, request a copy of the full case file pertaining to the decision.

14.3 Public Disclosure

14.3.1

The identity of any Rider or other Person who is asserted by FIM to have committed an anti-doping rule violation may be Publicly Disclosed by FIM only after notice has been provided to the Rider or other Person in accordance with Article 7.3, 7.4, 7.5, 7.6 or 7.7 and simultaneously to WADA and the National Anti-Doping Organization of the Rider or other Person in accordance with Article 14.1.2.

14.3.2

No later than twenty days after it has been determined in a final appellate decision under Article 13.2.1 or 13.2.2, or such appeal has been waived, or a hearing in accordance with Article 8 has been waived, or the assertion of an anti-doping rule violation has not been timely challenged, FIM must Publicly Report the disposition of the matter, including the sport, the anti-doping rule violated, the name of the Rider or other Person committing the violation, the Prohibited Substance or Prohibited Method involved (if any), and the Consequences imposed. FIM must also Publicly Report within twenty days the results of final appeal decisions concerning anti-doping rule violations, including the information described above.

14.3.3

In any case where it is determined, after a hearing or appeal, that the Rider or other Person did not commit an anti-doping rule violation, the decision may be Publicly Disclosed only with the consent of the Rider or other Person who is the subject of the decision. FIM shall use reasonable efforts to obtain such consent. If consent is obtained, FIM shall Publicly Disclose the decision in its entirety or in such redacted form as the Rider or other Person may approve.

14.3.4

Publication shall be accomplished at a minimum by placing the required information on the FIM's website or publishing it through other means and leaving the information up for the longer of one month or the duration of any period of Ineligibility.

14.3.5

Neither FIM, nor its FMNs, nor any official of either body, shall publicly comment on the specific facts of any pending case (as opposed to general description of process and science) except in response to public comments attributed to the Rider or other Person against whom an anti-doping rule violation is asserted, or their representatives.

14.3.6

The mandatory Public Reporting required in Article 14.3.2 shall not be required where the Rider or other Person who has been found to have committed an anti-doping rule violation is a Minor. Any optional Public Reporting in a case involving a Minor shall be proportionate to the facts and circumstances of the case.

14.3.7

Except where expressly stated otherwise, a notice under these Anti-Doping Rules shall only be effective if it is in writing. Notifications by fax and email are permitted and considered as valid.

14.3.8

Any notice given under these Anti-Doping Rules shall, in the absence of earlier receipt, be deemed to have been duly given as follows:

- a) if delivered personally, on delivery;
- b) if sent by first class post, two clear business days after the date of posting;
- c) if sent by airmail, six clear business days after the date of posting;
- d) if sent by facsimile, at the expiration of 48 hours after the time it was sent;
- e) if sent by email, at the expiration of 24 hours after the time it was sent;

14.4 Statistical Reporting

FIM shall publish at least annually a general statistical report of its Doping Control activities, with a copy provided to WADA. FIM may also publish reports showing the name of each Rider tested and the date of each Testing.

14.5 Doping Control Information Clearinghouse

To facilitate coordinated test distribution planning and to avoid unnecessary duplication in Testing by the various Anti-Doping Organizations, FIM shall report all In-Competition and Out-of-Competition tests on such Riders to the WADA clearinghouse, using ADAMS, as soon as possible after such tests have been conducted. This information will be made accessible, where appropriate and in accordance with the applicable rules, to the Rider, the Rider's National Anti-Doping Organization and any other Anti-Doping Organizations with Testing authority over the Rider.

14.6 Data Privacy

14.6.1

FIM may collect, store, process or disclose personal information relating to Riders and other Persons where necessary and appropriate to conduct their anti-doping activities under the Code, the International Standards (including specifically the International Standard for the Protection of Privacy and Personal Information) and these Anti-Doping Rules.

14.6.2

Any Participant who submits information including personal data to any Person in accordance with these Anti-Doping Rules shall be deemed to have agreed, pursuant to applicable data protection laws and otherwise, that such information may be collected, processed, disclosed and used by such Person for the purposes of the implementation of these Anti-Doping Rules, in accordance with the International Standard for the Protection of Privacy and Personal Information and otherwise as required to implement these Anti-Doping Rules.

ARTICLE 15 APPLICATION AND RECOGNITION OF DECISIONS

15.1

Subject to the right to appeal provided in Article 13, Testing, hearing results or other final adjudications of any Signatory which are consistent with the Code and are within that Signatory's authority shall be applicable worldwide and shall be recognized and respected by FIM and all its FMNs.

[Comment to Article 15.1: The extent of recognition of TUE decisions of other Anti-Doping Organizations shall be determined by Article 4.4 and the International Standard for Therapeutic Use Exemptions.]

15.2

FIM and its FMNs shall recognize the measures taken by other bodies which have not accepted the Code if the rules of those bodies are otherwise consistent with the Code.

[Comment to Article 15.2: Where the decision of a body that has not accepted the Code is in some respects Code compliant and in other respects not Code compliant, FIM and its FMNs shall attempt to apply the decision in harmony with the principles of the Code. For example, if in a process consistent with the Code a non-Signatory has found a Rider to have committed an anti-doping rule violation on account of the presence of a Prohibited Substance in his or her body but the period of Ineligibility applied is shorter than the period provided for in these Anti-Doping Rules, then FIM shall recognize the finding of an anti-doping rule violation and may conduct a hearing consistent with Article 8 to determine whether the longer period of Ineligibility provided in these Anti-Doping Rules should be imposed.]

15.3

Subject to the right to appeal provided in Article 13, any decision of FIM regarding a violation of these Anti-Doping Rules shall be recognized by all FMNs, which shall take all necessary action to render such decision effective.

ARTICLE 16 INCORPORATION OF FIM ANTI-DOPING RULES AND OBLIGATIONS OF CONUs & FMNs

16.1

All CONUs & FMNs and their members shall comply with these Anti-Doping Rules. All CONUs & FMNs and other members shall include in their regulations the provisions necessary to ensure that FIM may enforce these Anti-Doping Rules directly as against Riders under their anti-doping jurisdiction (including National-Level Riders). These Anti-Doping Rules shall also be incorporated either directly or by reference into each CONU's & FMN's rules so that the CONU & FMN may enforce them itself directly as against Riders under its anti-doping jurisdiction (including National-Level Riders).

16.2

All CONUs & FMNs shall establish rules requiring all Riders and each Rider Support Personnel who participates as coach, trainer, manager, team staff, official, medical or paramedical personnel in a Competition or activity authorized or organized by a CONU or FMN or one of its member organizations to agree to be bound by these Anti-Doping Rules and to submit to the results management authority of the Anti-Doping Organization responsible under the Code as a condition of such participation.

16.3

All CONUs & FMNs shall report any information suggesting or relating to an anti-doping rule violation to FIM and to their National Anti-Doping Organizations, and shall cooperate with investigations conducted by any Anti-Doping Organization with authority to conduct the investigation.

16.4

All CONUs & FMNs shall have disciplinary rules in place to prevent Rider Support Personnel who are Using Prohibited Substances or Prohibited Methods without valid justification from providing support to Riders under the jurisdiction of FIM or the CONU or the FMN.

16.5

All CONUs & FMNs shall be required to conduct anti-doping education in coordination with their National Anti-Doping Organizations.

16.6 **Statistical Reporting**

CONUs and FMNs shall report to the FIM at the end of every year results of all Doping Controls within their jurisdiction sorted by Rider and identifying each date on which the Rider was tested, the entity conducting the test, and whether the test was In-Competition or Out-of-Competition. The FIM may periodically publish Testing data received from CONUs and FMNs as well as comparable data from Testing under the FIM's jurisdiction.

16.7

The FIM will withhold some or all funding to its CONUs & FMNs that are not in compliance with the Code.

ARTICLE 17 STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS

No anti-doping rule violation proceeding may be commenced against a Rider or other Person unless he or she has been notified of the anti-doping rule violation as provided in Article 7, or notification has been reasonably attempted, within ten years from the date the violation is asserted to have occurred.

ARTICLE 18 FIM COMPLIANCE REPORTS TO WADA

FIM will report to WADA on FIM's compliance with the Code in accordance with Article 23.5.2 of the Code.

ARTICLE 19 EDUCATION

FIM shall plan, implement, evaluate and monitor information, education and prevention programs for doping-free sport on at least the issues listed at Article 18.2 of the Code, and shall support active participation by Riders and Rider Support Personnel in such programs.

19.1

FIM may request that Riders perform educational activities before and/or during their participation to selected Events (ex: Youth World Championships). The list of Events in which Riders will be required to perform educational activities as a condition of participation will be published in the FIM website.

The Riders who have not performed the educational activities will be asked to provide valid justifications to have failed to participate in the educational activity.

FIM Administration should evaluate those justifications on a case by case basis and may decide to request to impose disciplinary sanctions if it deemed appropriate.

ARTICLE 20 AMENDMENT AND INTERPRETATION OF ANTI-DOPING RULES

20.1

These Anti-Doping Rules may be amended from time to time by FIM.

20.2

These Anti-Doping Rules shall be interpreted as an independent and autonomous text and not by reference to existing law or statutes.

20.3

The headings used for the various Parts and Articles of these Anti-Doping Rules are for convenience only and shall not be deemed part of the substance of these Anti-Doping Rules or to affect in any way the language of the provisions to which they refer.

20.4

The Code and the International Standards shall be considered integral parts of these Anti-Doping Rules and shall prevail in case of conflict.

20.5

These Anti-Doping Rules have been adopted pursuant to the applicable provisions of the Code and shall be interpreted in a manner that is consistent with applicable provisions of the Code. The Introduction shall be considered an integral part of these Anti-Doping Rules.

20.6

The comments annotating various provisions of the Code and these Anti-Doping Rules shall be used to interpret these Anti-Doping Rules.

20.7

These Anti-Doping Rules have come into full force and effect on [1 January 2015] (the “Effective Date”). They shall not apply retroactively to matters pending before the Effective Date; provided, however, that:

20.7.1

Anti-doping rule violations taking place prior to the Effective Date count as “first violations” or “second violations” for purposes of determining sanctions under Article 10 for violations taking place after the Effective Date.

20.7.2

The retrospective periods in which prior violations can be considered for purposes of multiple violations under Article 10.7.5 and the statute of limitations set forth in Article 17 are procedural rules and should be applied retroactively; provided, however, that Article 17 shall only be applied retroactively if the statute of limitations period has not already expired by the Effective Date. Otherwise, with respect to any anti-doping rule violation case which is pending as of the Effective Date and any anti-doping rule violation case brought after the Effective Date based on an anti-doping rule violation which occurred prior to the Effective Date, the case shall be governed by the substantive anti-doping rules in effect at the time the alleged anti-doping rule violation occurred unless the panel hearing the case determines the principle of “lex mitior” appropriately applies under the circumstances of the case.

20.7.3

Any Article 2.4 whereabouts failure (whether a Filing Failure or a Missed Test, as those terms are defined in the International Standard for Testing and Investigations) prior to the Effective Date shall be carried forward and may be relied upon, prior to expiry, in accordance with the International Standard for Testing and Investigation, but it shall be deemed to have expired 12 months after it occurred.

20.7.4

With respect to cases where a final decision finding an anti-doping rule violation has been rendered prior to the Effective Date, but the Rider or other Person is still serving the period of Ineligibility as of the Effective Date, the Rider or other Person may apply to the Anti-Doping Organization which had results management responsibility for the anti-doping rule violation to consider a reduction in the period of Ineligibility in light of these Anti-Doping Rules. Such application must be made before the period of Ineligibility has expired. The decision rendered may be appealed pursuant to Article 13.2. These Anti-Doping Rules shall have no application to any case where a final decision finding an anti-doping rule violation has been rendered and the period of Ineligibility has expired.

20.7.5

For purposes of assessing the period of Ineligibility for a second violation under Article 10.7.1, where the sanction for the first violation was determined based on rules in force prior to the Effective Date, the period of Ineligibility which would have been assessed for that first violation had these Anti-Doping Rules been applicable, shall be applied.

ARTICLE 21 INTERPRETATION OF THE CODE

21.1

The official text of the Code shall be maintained by WADA and shall be published in English and French. In the event of any conflict between the English and French versions, the English version shall prevail.

21.2

The comments annotating various provisions of the Code shall be used to interpret the Code.

21.3

The Code shall be interpreted as an independent and autonomous text and not by reference to the existing law or statutes of the Signatories or governments.

21.4

The headings used for the various Parts and Articles of the Code are for convenience only and shall not be deemed part of the substance of the Code or to affect in any way the language of the provisions to which they refer.

21.5

The Code shall not apply retroactively to matters pending before the date the Code is accepted by a Signatory and implemented in its rules. However, pre-Code anti-doping rule violations would continue to count as “first violations” or “second violations” for purposes of determining sanctions under Article 10 for subsequent post-Code violations.

21.6

The Purpose, Scope and Organization of the World Anti-Doping Program and the Code and Appendix 1, Definitions, and Appendix 2, Examples of the Application of Article 10, shall be considered integral parts of the Code.

ARTICLE 22 ADDITIONAL ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES OF RIDERS AND OTHER PERSONS

22.1 Roles and Responsibilities of Riders

22.1.1

To be knowledgeable of and comply with these Anti-Doping Rules.

22.1.2

To be available for Sample collection at all times.

[Comment to Article 22.1.2: With due regard to a Rider's human rights and privacy, legitimate anti-doping considerations sometimes require Sample collection late at night or early in the morning. For example, it is known that some Riders use low doses of EPO during these hours so that it will be undetectable in the morning.]

22.1.3

To take responsibility, in the context of anti-doping, for what they ingest and Use.

22.1.4

To inform medical personnel of their obligation not to Use Prohibited Substances and Prohibited Methods and to take responsibility to make sure that any medical treatment received does not violate these Anti-Doping Rules.

22.1.5

To disclose to their National Anti-Doping Organization and to FIM any decision by a non-Signatory finding that the Rider committed an anti-doping rule violation within the previous ten years.

22.1.6

To cooperate with Anti-Doping Organizations investigating anti-doping rule violations.

22.1.7

Failure by any Rider to cooperate in full with Anti-Doping Organizations investigating anti-doping rule violations may result in a charge of misconduct under FIM's disciplinary rules/code of conduct.

22.2 Roles and Responsibilities of Rider Support Personnel

22.2.1

To be knowledgeable of and comply with these Anti-Doping Rules.

22.2.2

To cooperate with the Rider Testing program.

22.2.3

To use his or her influence on Rider values and behavior to foster anti-doping attitudes.

22.2.4

To disclose to his or her National Anti-Doping Organization and to FIM any decision by a non-Signatory finding that he or she committed an anti-doping rule violation within the previous ten years.

22.2.5

To cooperate with Anti-Doping Organizations investigating anti-doping rule violations.

22.2.6

Failure by any Rider Support Personnel to cooperate in full with Anti-Doping Organizations investigating anti-doping rule violations may result in a charge of misconduct under FIM's disciplinary rules/code of conduct.

22.2.7

Rider Support Personnel shall not Use or Possess any Prohibited Substance or Prohibited Method without valid justification.

22.2.8

Use or Possession of a Prohibited Substance or Prohibited Method by an Rider Support Personnel without valid justification may result in a charge of misconduct under FIM's disciplinary rules/code of conduct.

APPENDIX 1 DEFINITIONS

ADAMS: The Anti-Doping Administration and Management System is a Web-based database management tool for data entry, storage, sharing, and reporting designed to assist stakeholders and WADA in their anti-doping operations in conjunction with data protection legislation.

Administration: Providing, supplying, supervising, facilitating, or otherwise participating in the Use or Attempted Use by another Person of a Prohibited Substance or Prohibited Method. However, this definition shall not include the actions of bona fide medical personnel involving a Prohibited Substance or Prohibited Method used for genuine and legal therapeutic purposes or other acceptable justification and shall not include actions involving Prohibited Substances which are not prohibited in Out-of-Competition Testing unless the circumstances as a whole demonstrate that such Prohibited Substances are not intended for genuine and legal therapeutic purposes or are intended to enhance sport performance.

Adverse Analytical Finding: A report from a WADA-accredited laboratory or other WADA-approved laboratory that, consistent with the International Standard for Laboratories and related Technical Documents, identifies in a Sample the presence of a Prohibited Substance or its Metabolites or Markers (including elevated quantities of endogenous substances) or evidence of the Use of a Prohibited Method.

Adverse Passport Finding: A report identified as an Adverse Passport Finding as described in the applicable International Standards.

Anti-Doping Organization: A Signatory that is responsible for adopting rules for initiating, implementing or enforcing any part of the Doping Control process. This includes, for example, the International Olympic Committee, the International Paralympic Committee, other Major Event Organizations that conduct Testing at their Events, WADA, International Federations, and National Anti-Doping Organizations.

Attempt: Purposely engaging in conduct that constitutes a substantial step in a course of conduct planned to culminate in the commission of an anti-doping rule violation. Provided, however, there shall be no anti-doping rule violation based solely on an Attempt to commit a violation if the Person renounces the Attempt prior to it being discovered by a third party not involved in the Attempt.

Atypical Finding: A report from a WADA-accredited laboratory or other WADA-approved laboratory which requires further investigation as provided by the International Standard for Laboratories or related Technical Documents prior to the determination of an Adverse Analytical Finding.

Atypical Passport Finding: A report described as an Atypical Passport Finding as described in the applicable International Standards.

CAS: The Court of Arbitration for Sport.

Code: The World Anti-Doping Code.

Chaperone: a Person who is trained and authorized by the FIM to carry out specific duties including one or more of the following: notification of the Rider selected for Sample collection, accompanying and observing the Rider until arrival at the doping control station, and/or witnessing and verifying the provision of the Sample where the training qualifies him/her to do so.

Competition: A single race, match, game or singular sport contest. For stage races and other sport contests where prizes are awarded on a daily or other interim basis the distinction between a Competition and an Event will be as provided in the rules of the applicable International Federation. For FIM it is a single sporting meeting (composed, depending on the discipline, of practice sessions, qualifying practice sessions and race(s), rounds, legs, heat or stages).

Consequences of Anti-Doping Rule Violations (“Consequences”): A Rider’s or other Person’s violation of an anti-doping rule may result in one or more of the following: (a) Disqualification means the Rider’s results in a particular Competition or Event are invalidated, with all resulting Consequences including forfeiture of any medals, points and prizes; (b) Ineligibility means the Rider or other Person is barred on account of an anti-doping rule violation for a specified period of time from participating in any Competition or other activity or funding as provided in Article 10.12.1; (c) Provisional Suspension means the Rider or other Person is barred temporarily from participating in any Competition or activity prior to the final decision at a hearing conducted under Article 8; (d) Financial Consequences means a financial sanction imposed for an anti-doping rule violation or to recover costs associated with an anti-doping rule violation; and (e) Public Disclosure or Public Reporting means the dissemination or distribution of information to the general public or Persons beyond those Persons entitled to earlier notification in accordance with Article 14. Teams in Team Sports may also be subject to Consequences as provided in Article 11 of the Code.

Contaminated Product: A product that contains a Prohibited Substance that is not disclosed on the product label or in information available in a reasonable Internet search.

CONUs: The continental unions of the FIM that may function as the responsible bodies for continental, regional or other international events

Disqualification: See Consequences of Anti-Doping Rule Violations above.

Doping Control: All steps and processes from test distribution planning through to ultimate disposition of any appeal including all steps and processes in between such as provision of whereabouts information, Sample collection and handling, laboratory analysis, TUEs, results management and hearings.

Event: A series of individual Competitions conducted together under one ruling body (e.g., the Olympic Games, FIM World Championships, or Pan American Games). For FIM, an Event is a Competition as defined above.

Event Venues: Those venues so designated by the ruling body for the Event.

For FIM, the Event Venues are the official training, accommodation and Competition venues of the Event.

Event Period: The time between the beginning and end of an Event, as established by the ruling body of the Event.

Fault: Fault is any breach of duty or any lack of care appropriate to a particular situation. Factors to be taken into consideration in assessing an Rider or other Person's degree of Fault include, for example, the Rider's or other Person's experience, whether the Rider or other Person is a Minor, special considerations such as impairment, the degree of risk that should have been perceived by the Rider and the level of care and investigation exercised by the Rider in relation to what should have been the perceived level of risk. In assessing the Rider's or other Person's degree of Fault, the circumstances considered must be specific and relevant to explain the Rider's or other Person's departure from the expected standard of behavior. Thus, for example, the fact that a Rider would lose the opportunity to earn large sums of money during a period of Ineligibility, or the fact that the Rider only has a short time left in his or her career, or the timing of the sporting calendar, would not be relevant factors to be considered in reducing the period of Ineligibility under Article 10.5.1 or 10.5.2.

[Comment: The criteria for assessing a Rider's degree of Fault is the same under all Articles where Fault is to be considered. However, under Article 10.5.2, no reduction of sanction is appropriate unless, when the degree of Fault is assessed, the conclusion is that No Significant Fault or Negligence on the part of the Rider or other Person was involved.]

Financial Consequences: see Consequences of Anti-Doping Rule Violations, above.

FMN: A national entity which is a member of the FIM and recognised as the entity governing the FIM's disciplines in that nation.

In-Competition: "In-Competition" means the period commencing twelve hours before a Competition in which the Rider is scheduled to participate through the end of such Competition and the Sample collection process related to such Competition. For the purposes of the FIM Anti-Doping Code, "In-Competition" means the period commencing twelve hours before the Rider has passed the technical and/or administrative scrutineering, whichever is the earlier, before a Competition in which the Rider is scheduled to participate through the end of such Competition and the Sample collection process related to such Competition.

[Comment: An International Federation or ruling body for an Event may establish an "In-Competition" period that is different than the Event Period.]

Independent Observer Program: A team of observers, under the supervision of WADA, who observe and provide guidance on the Doping Control process at certain Events and report on their observations.

Individual Sport: Any sport that is not a Team Sport.

Ineligibility: See Consequences of Anti-Doping Rule Violations above.

International Event: An Event or Competition where the International Olympic Committee, the International Paralympic Committee, an International Federation, a Major Event Organization, or another international sport organization is the ruling body for the Event or appoints the technical officials for the Event. For the Sport of FIM, FIM World Championships Events and FIM Cup Prize Events are considered as International Events.

International-Level Rider: Riders who compete in sport at the international level, as defined by each International Federation, consistent with the International Standard for Testing and Investigations. For the sport of FIM, International-Level Riders are defined as set out in the Scope section of the Introduction to these Anti-Doping Rules.

[Comment: Consistent with the International Standard for Testing and Investigations, the International Federation is free to determine the criteria it will use to classify Riders as International-Level Riders, e.g., by ranking, by participation in particular International Events, by type of license, etc. However, it must publish those criteria in clear and concise form, so that Riders are able to ascertain quickly and easily when they will become classified as International-Level Riders. For example, if the criteria include participation in certain International Events, then the International Federation must publish a list of those International Events.]

International Standard: A standard adopted by WADA in support of the Code. Compliance with an International Standard (as opposed to another alternative standard, practice or procedure) shall be sufficient to conclude that the procedures addressed by the International Standard were performed properly. International Standards shall include any Technical Documents issued pursuant to the International Standard.

Major Event Organizations: The continental associations of National Olympic Committees and other international multi-sport organizations that function as the ruling body for any continental, regional or other International Event.

Marker: A compound, group of compounds or biological variable(s) that indicates the Use of a Prohibited Substance or Prohibited Method.

Metabolite: Any substance produced by a biotransformation process.

Minor: A natural Person who has not reached the age of eighteen years.

National Anti-Doping Organization: The entity(ies) designated by each country as possessing the primary authority and responsibility to adopt and implement anti-doping rules, direct the collection of Samples, the management of test results, and the conduct of hearings at the national level. If this designation has not been made by the competent public authority(ies), the entity shall be the country's National Olympic Committee or its designee.

National Event: A sport Event or Competition involving International- or National-Level Riders that is not an International Event.

National-Level Rider: Riders who compete in sport at the national level, as defined by each National Anti-Doping Organization, consistent with the International Standard for Testing and Investigations.

National Olympic Committee: The organization recognized by the International Olympic Committee. The term National Olympic Committee shall also include the National Sport Confederation in those countries where the National Sport Confederation assumes typical National Olympic Committee responsibilities in the anti-doping area.

No Fault or Negligence: The Rider or other Person's establishing that he or she did not know or suspect, and could not reasonably have known or suspected even with the exercise of utmost caution, that he or she had Used or been administered the Prohibited Substance or Prohibited Method or otherwise violated an anti-doping rule. Except in the case of a Minor, for any violation of Article 2.1, the Rider must also establish how the Prohibited Substance entered his or her system.

No Significant Fault or Negligence: The Rider or other Person's establishing that his or her Fault or negligence, when viewed in the totality of the circumstances and taking into account the criteria for No Fault or negligence, was not significant in relationship to the anti-doping rule violation. Except in the case of a Minor, for any violation of Article 2.1, the Rider must also establish how the Prohibited Substance entered his or her system.

[Comment: For Cannabinoids, a Rider may establish No Significant Fault or Negligence by clearly demonstrating that the context of the Use was unrelated to sport performance.]

Out-of-Competition: Any period which is not In-Competition.

Participant: Any Rider or Rider Support Person.

Person: A natural Person or an organization or other entity.

Possession: The actual, physical Possession, or the constructive Possession (which shall be found only if the Person has exclusive control or intends to exercise control over the Prohibited Substance or Prohibited Method or the premises in which a Prohibited Substance or Prohibited Method exists); provided, however, that if the Person does not have exclusive control over the Prohibited Substance or Prohibited Method or the premises in which a Prohibited Substance or Prohibited Method exists, constructive Possession shall only be found if the Person knew about the presence of the Prohibited Substance or Prohibited Method and intended to exercise control over it. Provided, however, there shall be no anti-doping rule violation based solely on Possession if, prior to receiving notification of any kind that the Person has committed an anti-doping rule violation, the Person has taken concrete action demonstrating that the Person never intended to have Possession and has renounced Possession by explicitly declaring it to an Anti-Doping Organization. Notwithstanding anything to the contrary in this definition, the purchase (including by any electronic or other means) of a Prohibited Substance or Prohibited Method constitutes Possession by the Person who makes the purchase.

[Comment: Under this definition, steroids found in a Rider's car would constitute a violation unless the Rider establishes that someone else used the car; in that event, the Anti-Doping Organization must establish that, even though the Rider did not have exclusive control over the car, the Rider knew about the steroids and intended to have control over the steroids. Similarly, in the example of steroids found in a home medicine cabinet under the joint control of a Rider and spouse, the Anti-Doping Organization must establish that the Rider knew the steroids were in the cabinet and that the Rider intended to exercise control over the steroids. The act of purchasing a Prohibited Substance alone constitutes Possession, even where, for example, the product does not arrive, is received by someone else, or is sent to a third party address.]

Prohibited List: The List identifying the Prohibited Substances and Prohibited Methods.

Prohibited Method: Any method so described on the Prohibited List.

Prohibited Substance: Any substance, or class of substances, so described on the Prohibited List.

Provisional Hearing: For purposes of Article 7.9, an expedited abbreviated hearing occurring prior to a hearing under Article 8 that provides the Rider with notice and an opportunity to be heard in either written or oral form.

[Comment: A Provisional Hearing is only a preliminary proceeding which may not involve a full review of the facts of the case. Following a Provisional Hearing, the Rider remains entitled to a subsequent full hearing on the merits of the case. By contrast, an “expedited hearing,” as that term is used in Article 7.9, is a full hearing on the merits conducted on an expedited time schedule.]

Provisional Suspension: See Consequences of Anti-Doping Rule Violations above.

Publicly Disclose or Publicly Report: See Consequences of Anti-Doping Rule Violations above.

Regional Anti-Doping Organization: A regional entity designated by member countries to coordinate and manage delegated areas of their national anti-doping programs, which may include the adoption and implementation of anti-doping rules, the planning and collection of Samples, the management of results, the review of TUEs, the conduct of hearings, and the conduct of educational programs at a regional level.

Registered Testing Pool: The pool of highest-priority Riders established separately at the international level by International Federations and at the national level by National Anti-Doping Organizations, who are subject to focused In-Competition and Out-of-Competition Testing as part of that International Federation’s or National Anti-Doping Organization’s test distribution plan and therefore are required to provide whereabouts information as provided in Article 5.6 of the Code and the International Standard for Testing and Investigations.

Rider: Any Person who competes in sport at the international level (as defined by each International Federation), or the national level (as defined by each National Anti-Doping Organization). An Anti-Doping Organization has discretion to apply anti-doping rules to a Rider who is neither an International-Level Rider nor a National-Level Rider, and thus to bring them within the definition of “Rider.” In relation to Riders who are neither International-Level nor National-Level Riders, an Anti-Doping Organization may elect to: conduct limited Testing or no Testing at all; analyze Samples for less than the full menu of Prohibited Substances; require limited or no whereabouts information; or not require advance TUEs. However, if an Article 2.1, 2.3 or 2.5 anti-doping rule violation is committed by any Rider over whom an Anti-Doping Organization has authority who competes below the international or national level, then the Consequences set forth in the Code (except Article 14.3.2) must be applied. For purposes of Article 2.8 and Article 2.9 and for purposes of anti-doping information and education, any Person who participates in sport under the authority of any Signatory, government, or other sports organization accepting the Code is a Rider.

[Comment: This definition makes it clear that all International- and National-Level Riders are subject to the anti-doping rules of the Code, with the precise definitions of international- and national-level sport to be set forth in the anti-doping rules of the International Federations and National Anti-Doping Organizations, respectively. The definition also allows each National Anti-Doping Organization, if it chooses to do so, to expand its anti-doping program beyond International- or National-Level Riders to competitors at lower levels of Competition or to individuals who engage in fitness activities but do not compete at all. Thus, a National Anti-Doping Organization could, for example, elect to test recreational-level competitors but not require advance TUEs. But an anti-doping rule violation involving an Adverse Analytical Finding or Tampering results in all of the Consequences provided for in the Code (with the exception of Article 14.3.2). The decision on whether Consequences apply to recreational-level Riders who engage in fitness activities but never compete is left to the National Anti-Doping Organization. In the same manner, a Major Event Organization holding an Event only for masters-level competitors could elect to test the competitors but not analyze Samples for the full menu of Prohibited Substances. Competitors at all levels of Competition should receive the benefit of anti-doping information and education.]

Rider Biological Passport: The program and methods of gathering and collating data as described in the International Standard for Testing and Investigations and International Standard for Laboratories.

Rider Support Personnel: Any coach, trainer, manager, agent, team staff, official, medical, paramedical personnel, parent or any other Person working with, treating or assisting a Rider participating in or preparing for sports Competition.

Sample or Specimen: Any biological material collected for the purposes of Doping Control.

[Comment: It has sometimes been claimed that the collection of blood Samples violates the tenets of certain religious or cultural groups. It has been determined that there is no basis for any such claim.]

Signatories: Those entities signing the Code and agreeing to comply with the Code, as provided in Article 23 of the Code.

Specified Substance: See Article 4.2.2.

Strict Liability: The rule which provides that under Article 2.1 and Article 2.2, it is not necessary that intent, Fault, negligence, or knowing Use on the Rider's part be demonstrated by the Anti-Doping Organization in order to establish an anti-doping rule violation.

Substantial Assistance: For purposes of Article 10.6.1, a Person providing Substantial Assistance must: (1) fully disclose in a signed written statement all information he or she possesses in relation to anti-doping rule violations, and (2) fully cooperate with the investigation and adjudication of any case related to that information, including, for example, presenting testimony at a hearing if requested to do so by an Anti-Doping Organization or hearing panel. Further, the information provided must be credible and must comprise an important part of any case which is initiated or, if no case is initiated, must have provided a sufficient basis on which a case could have been brought.

Tampering: Altering for an improper purpose or in an improper way; bringing improper influence to bear; interfering improperly; obstructing, misleading or engaging in any fraudulent conduct to alter results or prevent normal procedures from occurring.

Target Testing: Selection of specific Riders for Testing based on criteria set forth in the International Standard for Testing and Investigations.

Team Sport: A sport in which the substitution of players is permitted during a Competition.

Testing: The parts of the Doping Control process involving test distribution planning, Sample collection, Sample handling, and Sample transport to the laboratory.

Trafficking: Selling, giving, transporting, sending, delivering or distributing (or Possessing for any such purpose) a Prohibited Substance or Prohibited Method (either physically or by any electronic or other means) by a Rider, Rider Support Person or any other Person subject to the jurisdiction of an Anti-Doping Organization to any third party; provided, however, this definition shall not include the actions of “bona fide” medical personnel involving a Prohibited Substance used for genuine and legal therapeutic purposes or other acceptable justification, and shall not include actions involving Prohibited Substances which are not prohibited in Out-of-Competition Testing unless the circumstances as a whole demonstrate such Prohibited Substances are not intended for genuine and legal therapeutic purposes or are intended to enhance sport performance.

TUE: Therapeutic Use Exemption, as described in Article 4.4.

UNESCO Convention: The International Convention against Doping in Sport adopted by the 33rd session of the UNESCO General Conference on 19 October, 2005 including any and all amendments adopted by the States Parties to the Convention and the Conference of Parties to the International Convention against Doping in Sport.



Use: The utilization, application, ingestion, injection or consumption by any means whatsoever of any Prohibited Substance or Prohibited Method.

WADA: The World Anti-Doping Agency.

[Comment: Defined terms shall include their plural and possessive forms, as well as those terms used as other parts of speech].

APPENDIX 2 EXAMPLES OF THE APPLICATION OF ARTICLE 10

EXAMPLE 1.

Facts: An Adverse Analytical Finding results from the presence of an anabolic steroid in an In-Competition test (Article 2.1); the Rider promptly admits the anti-doping rule violation; the Rider establishes No Significant Fault or Negligence; and the Rider provides Substantial Assistance.

Application of Consequences:

1. The starting point would be Article 10.2. Because the Rider is deemed to have No Significant Fault that would be sufficient corroborating evidence (Articles 10.2.1.1 and 10.2.3) that the anti-doping rule violation was not intentional, the period of Ineligibility would thus be two years, not four years (Article 10.2.2).
2. In a second step, the panel would analyze whether the Fault-related reductions (Articles 10.4 and 10.5) apply. Based on No Significant Fault or Negligence (Article 10.5.2) since the anabolic steroid is not a Specified Substance, the applicable range of sanctions would be reduced to a range of two years to one year (minimum one-half of the two year sanction). The panel would then determine the applicable period of Ineligibility within this range based on the Rider's degree of Fault. (Assume for purposes of illustration in this example that the panel would otherwise impose a period of Ineligibility of 16 months.)
3. In a third step, the panel would assess the possibility for suspension or reduction under Article 10.6 (reductions not related to Fault). In this case, only Article 10.6.1 (Substantial Assistance) applies. (Article 10.6.3, Prompt Admission, is not applicable because the period of Ineligibility is already below the two-year minimum set forth in Article 10.6.3.) Based on Substantial Assistance, the period of Ineligibility could be suspended by three-quarters of 16 months.* The minimum period of Ineligibility would thus be four months. (Assume for purposes of illustration in this example that the panel suspends ten months and the period of Ineligibility would thus be six months.)

4. Under Article 10.11, the period of Ineligibility, in principle, starts on the date of the final hearing decision. However, because the Rider promptly admitted the anti-doping rule violation, the period of Ineligibility could start as early as the date of Sample collection, but in any event the Rider would have to serve at least one-half of the Ineligibility period (i.e., three months) after the date of the hearing decision (Article 10.11.2).
5. Since the Adverse Analytical Finding was committed in a Competition, the panel would have to automatically Disqualify the result obtained in that Competition (Article 9).
6. According to Article 10.8, all results obtained by the Rider subsequent to the date of the Sample collection until the start of the period of Ineligibility would also be Disqualified unless fairness requires otherwise.
7. The information referred to in Article 14.3.2 must be Publicly Disclosed, unless the Rider is a Minor, since this is a mandatory part of each sanction (Article 10.13).
8. The Rider is not allowed to participate in any capacity in a Competition or other sport-related activity under the authority of any Signatory or its affiliates during the Rider's period of Ineligibility (Article 10.12.1). However, the Rider may return to train with a team or to use the facilities of a club or other member organization of a Signatory or its affiliates during the shorter of: (a) the last two months of the Rider's period of Ineligibility, or (b) the last one-quarter of the period of Ineligibility imposed (Article 10.12.2). Thus, the Rider would be allowed to return to training one and one-half months before the end of the period of Ineligibility.

EXAMPLE 2.

Facts: An Adverse Analytical Finding results from the presence of a stimulant which is a Specified Substance in an In-Competition test (Article 2.1); the Anti-Doping Organization is able to establish that the Rider committed the anti-doping rule violation intentionally; the Rider is not able to establish that the Prohibited Substance was Used Out-of-Competition in a context unrelated to sport performance; the Rider does not promptly admit the anti-doping rule violation as alleged; the Rider does provide Substantial Assistance.

Application of Consequences:

1. The starting point would be Article 10.2. Because the Anti-Doping Organization can establish that the anti-doping rule violation was committed intentionally and the Rider is unable to establish that the substance was permitted Out-of-Competition and the Use was unrelated to the Rider's sport performance (Article 10.2.3), the period of Ineligibility would be four years (Article 10.2.1.2).
2. Because the violation was intentional, there is no room for a reduction based on Fault (no application of Articles 10.4 and 10.5). Based on Substantial Assistance, the sanction could be suspended by up to three-quarters of the four years.* The minimum period of Ineligibility would thus be one year.
3. Under Article 10.11, the period of Ineligibility would start on the date of the final hearing decision.
4. Since the Adverse Analytical Finding was committed in a Competition, the panel would automatically Disqualify the result obtained in the Competition.
5. According to Article 10.8, all results obtained by the Rider subsequent to the date of Sample collection until the start of the period of Ineligibility would also be Disqualified unless fairness requires otherwise.
6. The information referred to in Article 14.3.2 must be Publicly Disclosed, unless the Rider is a Minor, since this is a mandatory part of each sanction (Article 10.13).
7. The Rider is not allowed to participate in any capacity in a Competition or other sport-related activity under the authority of any Signatory or its affiliates during the Rider's period of Ineligibility (Article 10.12.1). However, the Rider may return to train with a team or to use the facilities of a club or other member organization of a Signatory or its affiliates during the shorter of: (a) the last two months of the Rider's period of Ineligibility, or (b) the last one-quarter of the period of Ineligibility imposed (Article 10.12.2). Thus, the Rider would be allowed to return to training two months before the end of the period of Ineligibility.

EXAMPLE 3.

Facts: An Adverse Analytical Finding results from the presence of an anabolic steroid in an Out-of-Competition test (Article 2.1); the Rider establishes No Significant Fault or Negligence; the Rider also establishes that the Adverse Analytical Finding was caused by a Contaminated Product.

Application of Consequences:

1. The starting point would be Article 10.2. Because the Rider can establish through corroborating evidence that he did not commit the anti-doping rule violation intentionally, i.e., he had No Significant Fault in Using a Contaminated Product (Articles 10.2.1.1 and 10.2.3), the period of Ineligibility would be two years (Articles 10.2.2).
2. In a second step, the panel would analyze the Fault-related possibilities for reductions (Articles 10.4 and 10.5). Since the Rider can establish that the anti-doping rule violation was caused by a Contaminated Product and that he acted with No Significant Fault or Negligence based on Article 10.5.1.2, the applicable range for the period of Ineligibility would be reduced to a range of two years to a reprimand. The panel would determine the period of Ineligibility within this range, based on the Rider's degree of Fault. (Assume for purposes of illustration in this example that the panel would otherwise impose a period of Ineligibility of four months.)
3. According to Article 10.8, all results obtained by the Rider subsequent to the date of Sample collection until the start of the period of Ineligibility would be Disqualified unless fairness requires otherwise.
4. The information referred to in Article 14.3.2 must be Publicly Disclosed, unless the Rider is a Minor, since this is a mandatory part of each sanction (Article 10.13).

5. The Rider is not allowed to participate in any capacity in a Competition or other sport-related activity under the authority of any Signatory or its affiliates during the Rider's period of Ineligibility (Article 10.12.1). However, the Rider may return to train with a team or to use the facilities of a club or other member organization of a Signatory or its affiliates during the shorter of: (a) the last two months of the Rider's period of Ineligibility, or (b) the last one-quarter of the period of Ineligibility imposed (Article 10.12.2). Thus, the Rider would be allowed to return to training one month before the end of the period of Ineligibility.

EXAMPLE 4.

Facts: An Rider who has never had an Adverse Analytical Finding or been confronted with an anti-doping rule violation spontaneously admits that she Used an anabolic steroid to enhance her performance. The Rider also provides Substantial Assistance.

Application of Consequences:

1. Since the violation was intentional, Article 10.2.1 would be applicable and the basic period of Ineligibility imposed would be four years.
2. There is no room for Fault-related reductions of the period of Ineligibility (no application of Articles 10.4 and 10.5).
3. Based on the Rider's spontaneous admission (Article 10.6.2) alone, the period of Ineligibility could be reduced by up to one-half of the four years. Based on the Rider's Substantial Assistance (Article 10.6.1) alone, the period of Ineligibility could be suspended up to three-quarters of the four years.* Under Article 10.6.4, in considering the spontaneous admission and Substantial Assistance together, the most the sanction could be reduced or suspended would be up to three-quarters of the four years. The minimum period of Ineligibility would be one year.

4. The period of Ineligibility, in principle, starts on the day of the final hearing decision (Article 10.11). If the spontaneous admission is factored into the reduction of the period of Ineligibility, an early start of the period of Ineligibility under Article 10.11.2 would not be permitted. The provision seeks to prevent a Rider from benefitting twice from the same set of circumstances. However, if the period of Ineligibility was suspended solely on the basis of Substantial Assistance, Article 10.11.2 may still be applied, and the period of Ineligibility started as early as the Rider's last Use of the anabolic steroid.
5. According to Article 10.8, all results obtained by the Rider subsequent to the date of the anti-doping rule violation until the start of the period of Ineligibility would be Disqualified unless fairness requires otherwise.
6. The information referred to in Article 14.3.2 must be Publicly Disclosed, unless the Rider is a Minor, since this is a mandatory part of each sanction (Article 10.13).
7. The Rider is not allowed to participate in any capacity in a Competition or other sport-related activity under the authority of any Signatory or its affiliates during the Rider's period of Ineligibility (Article 10.12.1). However, the Rider may return to train with a team or to use the facilities of a club or other member organization of a Signatory or its affiliates during the shorter of: (a) the last two months of the Rider's period of Ineligibility, or (b) the last one-quarter of the period of Ineligibility imposed (Article 10.12.2). Thus, the Rider would be allowed to return to training two months before the end of the period of Ineligibility.

EXAMPLE 5.

Facts: An Rider Support Person helps to circumvent a period of Ineligibility imposed on an Rider by entering him into a Competition under a false name. The Rider Support Person comes forward with this anti-doping rule violation (Article 2.9) spontaneously before being notified of an anti-doping rule violation by an Anti-Doping Organization.

Application of Consequences:

1. According to Article 10.3.4, the period of Ineligibility would be from two up to four years, depending on the seriousness of the violation. (Assume for purposes of illustration in this example that the panel would otherwise impose a period of Ineligibility of three years.)
2. There is no room for Fault-related reductions since intent is an element of the anti-doping rule violation in Article 2.9 (see comment to Article 10.5.2).
3. According to Article 10.6.2, provided that the admission is the only reliable evidence, the period of Ineligibility may be reduced down to one-half. (Assume for purposes of illustration in this example that the panel would impose a period of Ineligibility of 18 months.)
4. The information referred to in Article 14.3.2 must be Publicly Disclosed unless the Rider Support Person is a Minor, since this is a mandatory part of each sanction (Article 10.13).

EXAMPLE 6.

Facts: An Rider was sanctioned for a first anti-doping rule violation with a period of Ineligibility of 14 months, of which four months were suspended because of Substantial Assistance. Now, the Rider commits a second anti-doping rule violation resulting from the presence of a stimulant which is not a Specified Substance in an In-Competition test (Article 2.1); the Rider establishes No Significant Fault or Negligence; and the Rider provided Substantial Assistance. If this were a first violation, the panel would sanction the Rider with a period of Ineligibility of 16 months and suspend six months for Substantial Assistance.

Application of Consequences:

1. Article 10.7 is applicable to the second anti-doping rule violation because Article 10.7.4.1 and Article 10.7.5 apply.
2. Under Article 10.7.1, the period of Ineligibility would be the greater of:
 - a) six months;

- b) one-half of the period of Ineligibility imposed for the first anti-doping rule violation without taking into account any reduction under Article 10.6 (in this example, that would equal one-half of 14 months, which is seven months); or
- c) twice the period of Ineligibility otherwise applicable to the second anti-doping rule violation treated as if it were a first violation, without taking into account any reduction under Article 10.6 (in this example, that would equal two times 16 months, which is 32 months).

Thus, the period of Ineligibility for the second violation would be the greater of a), b) and c), which is a period of Ineligibility of 32 months.

3. In a next step, the panel would assess the possibility for suspension or reduction under Article 10.6 (non-Fault-related reductions). In the case of the second violation, only Article 10.6.1 (Substantial Assistance) applies. Based on Substantial Assistance, the period of Ineligibility could be suspended by three-quarters of 32 months.* The minimum period of Ineligibility would thus be eight months. (Assume for purposes of illustration in this example that the panel suspends eight months of the period of Ineligibility for Substantial Assistance, thus reducing the period of Ineligibility imposed to two years.)
4. Since the Adverse Analytical Finding was committed in a Competition, the panel would automatically Disqualify the result obtained in the Competition.
5. According to Article 10.8, all results obtained by the Rider subsequent to the date of Sample collection until the start of the period of Ineligibility would also be Disqualified unless fairness requires otherwise.
6. The information referred to in Article 14.3.2 must be Publicly Disclosed, unless the Rider is a Minor, since this is a mandatory part of each sanction (Article 10.13).

7. The Rider is not allowed to participate in any capacity in a Competition or other sport-related activity under the authority of any Signatory or its affiliates during the Rider's period of Ineligibility (Article 10.12.1). However, the Rider may return to train with a team or to use the facilities of a club or other member organization of a Signatory or its affiliates during the shorter of: (a) the last two months of the Rider's period of Ineligibility, or (b) the last one-quarter of the period of Ineligibility imposed (Article 10.12.2). Thus, the Rider would be allowed to return to training two months before the end of the period of Ineligibility

-
- * Upon the approval of WADA in exceptional circumstances, the maximum suspension of the period of Ineligibility for Substantial Assistance may be greater than three-quarters, and reporting and publication may be delayed.



APPENDIX 3 RIDER CONSENT FORM

As a member of an FMN and/or a participant in an event authorized or recognized by the FIM, CONU or FMN, I hereby declare as follows:

1. I acknowledge that I am bound by, and confirm that I shall comply with, all of the provisions of FIM Anti-Doping Rules (as amended from time to time), the World Anti-Doping Rules (the “Code”) and the International Standards issued by the World Anti-Doping Agency, as amended from time to time, and published on WADA’s website.
2. I consent and agree to the creation of my profile in the WADA Doping Control Clearing House (“ADAMS”), as requested under the Code to which the FIM is a Signatory, and/or any other authorized National Anti-Doping Organization’s similar system for the sharing of information, and to the entry on my Doping Control, Whereabouts and Therapeutic Use Exemptions (“TUE”) related data in such systems.
3. I acknowledge the authority of the FIM and its member National Federations (“FMN”) and/or National Anti-Doping Organizations under the FIM Anti-Doping Rules to enforce, to manage results under, and to impose sanctions in accordance with the FIM Anti-Doping Rules.
4. I acknowledge and agree that any dispute arising out of a decision made pursuant to the FIM Anti-Doping Rules, after exhaustion of the process expressly provided for in the FIM Anti-Doping Rules, may be appealed exclusively as provided in Article 13 of the FIM Anti-Doping Rules to an appellate body for final and binding arbitration, which in the case of International-Level Riders is the Court of Arbitration for Sport (CAS).
5. I acknowledge and agree that the decisions of the arbitral appellate body referenced above shall be final and enforceable, and that I will not bring any claim, arbitration, lawsuit or litigation in any other court or tribunal.

I have read and understand the present declaration.

Date

Print Name (Last Name, First Name)

Date of Birth
(Day/Month/Year)

Signature (or, if a minor, signature of
legal guardian)

THE 2019 PROHIBITED LIST

WORLD ANTI-DOPING CODE

Valid 1 January 2019

In accordance with Article 4.2.2 of the World Anti-Doping Code, all Prohibited Substances shall be considered as “Specified Substances” except Substances in classes S1, S2, S4.4, S4.5, S6.A, and Prohibited Methods M1, M2 and M3.

<p>SUBSTANCES AND METHODS PROHIBITED AT ALL TIMES (IN- AND OUT-OF-COMPETITION)</p>

PROHIBITED SUBSTANCES

S0. NON-APPROVED SUBSTANCES

Any pharmacological substance which is not addressed by any of the subsequent sections of the List and with no current approval by any governmental regulatory health authority for human therapeutic use (e.g. drugs under pre-clinical or clinical development or discontinued, designer drugs, substances approved only for veterinary use) is prohibited at all times.

S1. ANABOLIC AGENTS

Anabolic agents are prohibited.

1. Anabolic Androgenic Steroids (AAS)

a) **Exogenous*** AAS, including:

- 1-Androstenediol (5 α -androst-1-ene-3 β ,17 β -diol);
- 1-Androstenedione (5 α -androst-1-ene-3,17-dione);
- 1-Androsterone (3 α -hydroxy-5 α -androst-1-ene-17-one);
- 1-Testosterone (17 β -hydroxy-5 α -androst-1-en-3-one);
- Bolasterone;
- Calusterone;
- Clostebol;
- Danazol ([1,2]oxazolo[4',5':2,3]pregna-4-en-20-yn-17 α -ol);

Dehydrochlormethyltestosterone
(4-chloro-17 β -hydroxy-17 α -methylandrosta-1,4-dien-3-one);
Desoxymethyltestosterone (17 α -methyl-5 α -androst-2-en-17 β -ol) and
17 α -methyl-5 α -androst-3-en-17 β -ol);
Drostanolone ;
Ethylestrenol (19-norpregna-4-en-17 α -ol) ;
Fluoxymesterone;
Formebolone;
Furazabol (17 α -methyl [1,2,5]oxadiazolo[3',4':2,3]-5 α -androstan-17 β -ol);
Gestrinone;
Mestanolone;
Mesterolone;
Metandienone (17 β -hydroxy-17 α -methylandrosta-1,4-dien-3-one);
Metenolone;
Methandriol;
Methasterone (17 β -hydroxy-2 α ,17 α -dimethyl-5 α -androstan-3-one);
Methyldienolone (17 β -hydroxy-17 α -methylestra-4,9-dien-3-one);
Methyl-1-testosterone (17 β -hydroxy-17 α -methyl-5 α -androst-1-en-3-one);
Methylnortestosterone (17 β -hydroxy-17 α -methylestr-4-en-3-one);
Methyltestosterone;
Mestibolone (methyltrienolone, 17 β -hydroxy-17 α -methylestra-4,9,11-
trien-3-one);
Mibolerone;
Norboletone;
Norclostebol;
Norethandrolone;
Oxabolone;
Oxandrolone;
Oxymesterone;
Oxymetholone;
Prostanozol (17 β -[(tetrahydropyran-2-yl)oxy]-1'H-pyrazolo[3,4:2,3]-5 α -
androstane);
Quinbolone;
Stanozolol;
Stenbolone;
Tetrahydrogestrinone (17-hydroxy-18 α -homo-19-nor-17 α -pregna-4,9,11-
trien-3-one);
Trenbolone (17 β -hydroxyestr-4,9,11-trien-3-one);
and other substances with a similar chemical structure or similar
biological effect(s).

- b) **Endogenous** AAS and their metabolites and isomers, when administered exogenously, including but not limited to:**

4-Androstenediol (androst-4-ène-3 β , 17 β -diol);
4-Hydroxytestosterone (4,17 β -dihydroxyandrost-4-en-3-one);
5-Androstènedione (androst-5-ène-3,17-dione);
7 α -Hydroxy-DHEA;
7 β -Hydroxy-DHEA;
7-Keto-DHEA;
19-Norandrostenediol (estr-4-ene-3,17-diol);
19-Norandrostenedione (estr-4-ene-3,17-dione);
Androstanolone (5 α -dihydrotestosterone-17 β -hydroxy-5 α -androstan-3-one);
Androstenediol (androst-5-ene-3 β ,17 β -diol);
Androstenedione (androst-4-ene-3,17-dione);
Boldenone;
Boldione (androsta-1,4-diene-3,17-dione);
Epiandrosterone (3 β -hydroxy-5 α -androstan-17-one);
Epi-dihydrotestosterone (17 β -hydroxy-5 β -androstan-3-one)
Epitestosterone;
Nandrolone (19-nortestosterone);
Prasterone (dehydroepiandrosterone, DHEA, 3 β -hydroxyandrost-5-en-17-one);
Testosterone;

2. Other Anabolic Agents

Including, but not limited to:

- Clenbuterol;
- Selective androgen receptor modulators (SARMs, e.g. andarine, LGD-4033 ostarine and RAD140);
- Tibolone,
- Zeranol;
- Zilpaterol.

For purposes of this section:

- * “exogenous” refers to a substance which is not ordinarily produced by the body naturally.
- ** “endogenous” refers to a substance which is ordinarily produced by the body naturally.

S2. PEPTIDE HORMONES, GROWTH FACTORS, RELATED SUBSTANCES AND MIMETICS

The following substances, and other substances with similar chemical structure or similar biological effect(s), are prohibited:

1. Erythropoietins (EPO) and agents affecting erythropoiesis, including, but not limited to:

- 1.1 Erythropoietins - Receptor Agonists, e.g.

Darbepoietin (dEPO);

Erythropoietins (EPO);

EPO based constructs [(EPO-Fc, methoxy polyethylene glycol-epoetin beta (CERA)]

EPO-mimetic agents and their constructs (e.g. CNTO-530 and peginesatide)

- 1.2 Hypoxia-inducible factor (HIF) activating agents, e.g.

Argon;

Cobalt;

Daprodustat (GSK1278863);

Molidustat (BAY 85-3934);

Roxadustat (FG-4592);

Vadadustat (AKB-6548);

Xenon

1.3 GATA inhibitors, e.g

K-11706;

1.4 TGF-beta (TGF-B) inhibitors, e.g.

Luspatercept;
Sotatercept

1.5 Innate repair receptor agonists, e.g.

Asialo EPO;
Carbamylated EPO (CEPO)

2. Peptide Hormones and their releasing factors;

2.1 Chorionic Gonadotrophin (CG) and Luteinizing Hormone (LH) and their releasing factors in males, e.g. buserelin, deslorelin, gonadorelin, goserelin, leuprorelin, nafarelin and triptorelin;

2.2 Corticotrophins and their releasing factors, e.g corticorelin;

2.3 Growth Hormone (GH), its fragments and releasing factors including, but not limited to:

- Growth Hormone fragments, e.g. AOD-9604 and hGH 176-191;
- Growth Hormone Releasing Hormone (GHRH) and its analogues, e.g. CJC-1293, CJC-1295, sermorelin and tesamorelin;
- Growth Hormone Secretagogues (GHS), **lenomorelin** (ghrelin) and ghrelin mimetics, e.g. anamorelin, ipamorelin, **macimorelin** and tabimorelin;
- GH-Releasing Peptides (GHRPs), e.g. alexamorelin, GHRP-1, GHRP-2 (pralmorelin), GHRP-3, GHRP-4, GHRP-5, GHRP-6 and **examorelin** (hexarelin).

3. Growth Factors and Growth Factor Modulators, Including, but not limited to:

Fibroblast Growth Factors (FGFs);
Hepatocyte Growth Factor (HGF);
Insulin-like Growth Factor-1 (IGF-1) and its analogues;
Mechano Growth Factors (MGFs);
Platelet-Derived Growth Factor (PDGF);
Thymosin-β4 and its derivatives e.g. TB-500;
Vascular-Endothelial Growth Factor (VEGF).

and other growth factors or growth factor modulators affecting muscle, tendon or ligament protein synthesis/degradation, vascularisation, energy utilization, regenerative capacity or fibre type switching.

S3. BETA-2 AGONISTS

All selective and non-selective beta-2 agonists, including all optical isomers are prohibited. Including, but not limited to:

Fenoterol;
Formoterol;
Higenamine;
Indacaterol;
Olodaterol;
Procaterol;
Reproterol;
Salbutamol;
Salmeterol;
Terbutaline;
Tretoquinol (trimetoquinol);
Tulobuterol;
Vilanterol;

Except:

- Inhaled salbutamol: maximum 1600 micrograms over 24 hours, in divided doses not to exceed 800 micrograms every 12 hours starting from any dose;
- Inhaled formoterol: maximum delivered dose of 54 micrograms over 24 hours;
- Inhaled salmeterol: maximum 200 micrograms over 24 hours.

The presence in urine of salbutamol in excess of 1000 ng/mL or formoterol in excess of 40 ng/mL is not consistent with therapeutic use of the substance and will be considered as an Adverse Analytical Finding (AAF) unless the Rider proves, through a controlled pharmacokinetic study, that the abnormal result was the consequence of a therapeutic dose (by inhalation) up to the maximum dose indicated above.

S4. HORMONE AND METABOLIC MODULATORS

The following hormone and metabolic modulators are prohibited:

1. Aromatase inhibitors including, but not limited to:
 - 2-Androstenol (5 α -androst-2-en-17-ol);
 - 2-Androstenone (5 α -androst-2-en-17-one);
 - 3-Androstenol (5 α -androst-3-en-17-ol);
 - 3-Androstenone (5 α -androst-3-en-17-one);
 - 4-Androstene-3,6,17 trione (6-oxo);
 - Aminoglutethimide;
 - Anastrozole;
 - Androsta-1,4,6-triene-3,17-dione (androstatrienedione);
 - Androsta-3,5-diene-7,17-dione (arimistane);
 - Exemestane;
 - Formestane;
 - Letrozole ;
 - Testolactone.

2. Selective estrogen receptor modulators (SERMs) including, but not limited to:
 - Raloxifene;
 - Tamoxifen;
 - Toremifene.

3. Other anti-estrogenic substances including, but not limited to:
 - Clomiphene;
 - Cyclofenil;
 - Fulvestrant.

4. Agents preventing activin receptor IIB activation including, but not limited, to:

Activin A-neutralizing antibodies;

Activin receptor IIB competitors such as:

Decoy activin receptors (e.g. ACE-031);

Anti-activin receptor IIB antibodies (e.g. bimagrumab);

Myostatin inhibitors such as:

Agents reducing or ablating myostatin expression;

Myostatin-binding proteins (e.g. follistatin, myostatin propeptide);

Myostatin-neutralizing antibodies (e.g. domagrozumab, landogrozumab, stamulumab).

5. Metabolic modulators:

5.1 Activators of the AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK), e.g. AICAR, SR9009; and Peroxisome Proliferator Activated Receptor δ (PPAR δ) agonists, e.g. 2-[2methyl-4(4-[trifluoromethyl] phenyl)thiazol-5-yl]methythiol]phenoxy]acetic acid [GW 1516, GW501516];

5.2 Insulins and Insulin-mimetics;

5.3 Meldonium;

5.4 Trimetazidine.

S5. DIURETICS AND MASKING AGENTS

The following diuretics and masking agents are prohibited, as are other substances with a similar chemical structure or similar biological effect(s).

Including, but not limited to:

- Desmopressin; probenecid; plasma expanders, e.g. intravenous administration of albumin, dextran, hydroxyethyl starch and mannitol.
- Acetazolamide; amiloride; bumetanide; canrenone; chlortalidone; etacrynic acid; furosemide; indapamide; metolazone; spironolactone; thiazides, e.g. bendroflumethiazide, chlorothiazide and hydrochlorothiazide; triamterene and vaptans, e.g. tolvaptan.

Except:

- Drospirenone; pamabrom; and ophtalmic use of carbonic anhydrase inhibitors (e.g. dorzolamide, brinzolamide);
- Local administration of felypressin in dental anaesthesia.

The detection in a Rider's Sample at all times or In-Competition, as applicable, of any quantity of the following substances subject to threshold limits: formoterol, salbutamol, cathine, ephedrine, methylephedrine and pseudoephedrine, in conjunction with a diuretic or masking agent, will be considered as an Adverse Analytical Finding (AAF) unless the Rider has an approved Therapeutic Use Exemption (TUE) for that substance in addition to the one granted for the diuretic or masking agent.

PROHIBITED METHODS

M1. MANIPULATION OF BLOOD AND BLOOD COMPONENTS

The following are prohibited:

1. The Administration or reintroduction of any quantity of autologous, allogenic (homologous) or heterologous blood, or red blood cell products of any origin into the circulatory system.
2. Artificially enhancing the uptake, transport or delivery of oxygen.

Including, but not limited to:

Perfluorochemicals; efaproxiral (RSR13) and modified haemoglobin products, e.g. haemoglobin-based blood substitutes and microencapsulated haemoglobin products, excluding supplemental oxygen by inhalation.

3. Any form of intravascular manipulation of the blood or blood components by physical or chemical means.

M2. CHEMICAL AND PHYSICAL MANIPULATION

The following are prohibited:

1. Tampering, or Attempting to Tamper, to alter the integrity and validity of Samples collected during Doping Control.

Including, but not limited to:

Urine substitution and/or adulteration, e.g. proteases.

2. Intravenous infusions and/or injections of more than a total of 100mL per 12 hour period except for those legitimately received in the course of hospital treatments, surgical procedures or clinical diagnostic investigations.

M3. GENE DOPING

The following, with the potential to enhance sport performance, are prohibited:

1. The use of polymers of nucleic acids or nucleic acid analogues.
2. The use of gene editing agents designed to alter genome sequences and/or the transcriptional, **post-transcriptional** or epigenetic regulation of gene expression.
3. The use of normal or genetically modified cells.

SUBSTANCES AND METHODS PROHIBITED IN-COMPETITION

In addition to the categories S0 to S5 and M1 to M3 defined above, the following categories are prohibited In-Competition:

PROHIBITED SUBSTANCES

S6. STIMULANTS

All stimulants, including all optical isomers, e.g. d- and l- where relevant, are prohibited.

Stimulants include:

a: Non-Specified Stimulants:

Adrafinil; Amfepramone; Amfetamine; Amfetaminil; Amiphenazole; Benfluorex; Benzylpiperazine; Bromantan; Clobenzorex; Cocaine; Cropropamide; Crotetamide; Fencamine; Fenetylline; Fenfluramine; Fenproporex; Fonturacetam [4-phenylpiracetam (carphedon)]; Furfenorex; Lisdexamfetamine; Mefenorex; Mephentermine; Mesocarb; Metamfetamine (d-); p-methylamphetamine; Modafinil; Norfenfluramine; Phentermine; Prenylamine; Prolintane.

A stimulant not expressly listed in this section is a Specified Substance.

b: Specified Stimulants:

Including but not limited to:

3-Methylhexan-2-amine (1,2-dimethylpentylamine);
4-Methylhexan-2-amine (methylhexaneamine);
4-Methylpentan-2-amine (1,3 dimethylbutylamine);
5-Methylhexan-2-amine (1,4-dimethylpentylamine).

- Benzfetamine; Cathine^{**}; Cathinone and its analogues, e.g. Mephedrone, Methedrone, and α -pyrrolidinovalerophenone; Dimetamfetamine; Ephedrine^{***}; Epinephrine^{****} (adrenaline); Etamivan; Etilamfetamine; Etilefrine; Famprofazone; Fenbutrazate; Fencamfamin; Heptaminol; Hydroxyamfetamine (parahydroxyamphetamine); Isometheptene; Levmetamfetamine; Meclofenoxate; Methylenedioxyamfetamine; Methylephedrine^{***}; Methylphenidate; Nikethamide; Norfenefrine; Octopamine; Oxilofrine (methylnephrine); Pemoline; Pentetrazol; Phenethylamine and its derivatives; Phenmetrazine; Phenpromethamine; Propylhexedrine; Pseudoephedrine^{*****}; Selegiline; Sibutramine; Strychnine; Tenamfetamine (methylenedioxyamphetamine); Tuaminoheptane.

and other substances with a similar chemical structure or similar biological effect(s).

Except:

- Clonidine
- Imidazole derivatives for topical/ophthalmic use and those stimulants included in the 2019 Monitoring Program*.
- * Bupropion, caffeine, nicotine, phenylephrine, phenylpropanolamine, pipradrol, and synephrine: These substances are included in the 2019 Monitoring Program, and are not considered Prohibited Substances.
- ** Cathine: Prohibited when its concentration in urine is greater than 5 micrograms per milliliter.
- *** Ephedrine and methylephedrine: Prohibited when the concentration of either in urine is greater than 10 micrograms per milliliter.
- **** Epinephrine (adrenaline): Not prohibited in local administration, e.g. nasal, ophthalmologic, or co-administration with local anaesthetic agents.
- ***** Pseudoephedrine: Prohibited when its concentration in urine is greater than 150 micrograms per milliliter.

S7. NARCOTICS

The following narcotics are prohibited:

Buprenorphine;	Morphine;
Dextromoramide;	Nicomorphine;
Diamorphine (heroin);	Oxycodone;
Fentanyl and its derivatives;	Oxymorphone;
Hydromorphone;	Pentazocine;
Methadone;	Pethidine.

S8. CANNABINOIDS

The following cannabinoids are prohibited:

- Natural cannabinoids, e.g. cannabis, hashish and marijuana.
- Synthetic cannabinoids, e.g. Δ^9 -tetrahydrocannabinol (THC) and other cannabimimetics.

Except:

- Cannabidiol.

S9. GLUCOCORTICOIDS

All glucocorticoids are prohibited when administered by oral, intravenous, intramuscular or rectal routes.

Including but not limited to:

Betamethasone;	Hydrocortisone;
Budesonide;	Methylprednisolone;
Cortisone;	Prednisolone;
Deflazacort;	Prednisone;
Dexamethasone;	Triamcinolone.
Fluticasone;	

SUBSTANCES PROHIBITED IN PARTICULAR SPORTS

P1. BETA-BLOCKERS

Beta-blockers are prohibited In-Competition only, in the following sports, and also prohibited Out-of-Competition where indicated.

- Archery (WA)*
- Automobile (FIA)
- Billiards (all disciplines) (WCBS)
- Darts (WDF)
- Golf (IGF)
- Shooting (ISSF, IPC)*
- Skiing/Snowboarding (FIS) in ski jumping, freestyle aerials/halfpipe and snowboard halfpipe/big air
- Underwater sports (CMAS) in constant-weight apnoea with or without fins, dynamic apnoea with and without fins, free immersion apnoea, Jump Blue apnoea, spearfishing, static apnoea, target shooting and variable weight apnoea.

*Also prohibited Out-of-Competition

Including, but not limited to:

Acebutolol;	Bunolol
Alprenolol;	Carteolol;
Atenolol;	Carvedilol;
Betaxolol;	Celiprolol;
Bisoprolol;	Esmolol;
Labetalol;	Pindolol;
Metipranolol;	Oxprenolol;
Metoprolol;	Propranolol;
Nadolol;	Sotalol;
	Timolol.



FÉDÉRATION INTERNATIONALE
DE MOTOCYCLISME

FIM-LIVE.com

11, ROUTE DE SUISSE | CH - 1295 MIES

cmi@fim.ch

6572010